NOTE: Each proposed Denver amendment to the International Codes must be justified. In order to be considered, the amendment proposal must address climate, clarity and/or cost.

1) Submitter Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Barbara Stocklin-Steely</th>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>3-3-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization (if applicable):</td>
<td>CPD – Landmark Preservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>720-865-2944</td>
<td>E-mail address:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Barbara.stocklin-steely@denvergov.org">Barbara.stocklin-steely@denvergov.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) *Signature:

*I hereby grant and assign to City and County of Denver all rights in copyright I may have in any authorship contributions I make to City and County of Denver in connection with this proposal. I understand that I will have no rights in any City and County of Denver publications that use such contributions in the form submitted by me or another similar form and certify that such contributions are not protected by the copyright of any other person or entity. Note: electronic signatures are acceptable.

3) Indicate which International Code (I-Code) you propose to amend (please use acronym): _____IECC_______

If your code proposal requires an amendment to another I-Code, please indicate which other I-Code and section(s) is impacted, and provide the necessary language within the proposal form.

See section below for list of names and acronyms for the International Codes.

4) Be sure to format your proposal and include all information as indicated on pages 2-3 of this form.

5) Send your proposal to Community Planning and Development (CPD), attention: Jill Jennings Golich, via email at jill.jenningsgolich@denvergov.org. An e-mail submittal should include an electronic version saved with a file name as follows: 2015_public_amendment_proposal_CodeName_SectionReference, where ‘Codename_SectionReference’ is replaced using the code and section being proposed (for instance ‘2015_public_amendment_proposal_IBC_Section312’). The only formatting necessary is BOLDING, STRIKEOUT AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as this will be done by CPD.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted unless as allowed in 3) above.

Note: All amendment proposals received will receive an acknowledgment, and will be posted on the Building Code website to allow the public review.

Please check here if a separate graphic file is provided. □

Graphic materials (graphs, maps, drawings, charts, photographs, etc.) must be submitted as separate electronic files in either PDF, JPEG or TIF format (300 DPI minimum resolution; 600 DPI or more preferred) even though they may also be embedded in your submittal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-AP</td>
<td>Denver Building Code–Administrative</td>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>International Plumbing Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>IRC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IECC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>National Electrical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFCC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFGC</td>
<td>International Fuel Gas Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal. Your proposal may be entered on the following form, or you may attach a separate file. However, please read the instructions for each part of the amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/ Figures Proposed for Revision:**

*Note: If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).*

IECC Section R501.6

**Proposal:**

Show the proposal using *strikeout* or *underline* format. At the beginning of each section, include one of the following instruction lines:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

Section R501.6 –revise as follows:

**R501.6 Historic buildings.** No provision of this code relating to the construction, repair, alteration, restoration and movement of structures, and change of occupancy shall be mandatory for historic buildings provided that one of the following applies:

a. A report has been submitted to the code official and signed by the owner and a registered design professional, or a representative of the State Historic Preservation Office or the historic preservation authority having jurisdiction, demonstrating that compliance with that provision would threaten, degrade or destroy the contributing historic character or features, or the historic form, fabric materials or function of the building. If the subject matter of the report does not require a registered design professional, a licensed contractor responsible for the work may prepare the report.

b. The State Historic Preservation Office or local preservation authority having jurisdiction provides a letter to the code official with a finding that compliance with that provision would be in conflict with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation, outlining the specific provisions that are in conflict and how compliance would threaten, degrade or destroy the contributing historic character or features, or the historic form, fabric or function of the building.

c. The local historic preservation authority having jurisdiction provides documentation to the code official with a finding that compliance with that provision would be in conflict with locally adopted historic preservation policies, standards, and guidelines, outlining the specific provisions that are in conflict and how compliance would threaten, degrade or destroy the historic character or features, or the historic form, fabric or function of the building.
Supporting Information:
The following items are required to be included.

Purpose: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to climate and/or clarify that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver.)

Reasons: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

Substantiation: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such. The proponent shall be notified if the proposal is considered an incomplete proposal, and the proposal shall be held until the deficiencies are corrected. The burden of providing substantiating material lies with the proponent of the amendment proposal. A minimum of two copies of all substantiating information shall be submitted.

Bibliography: The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

Purpose: The purpose of this revision is for the report submittal qualifications to mirror proposed amendment language in 1201.2 in the IEBC, allowing the registered design professional to submit the report, or alternately, a licensed contractor, if no design professional is involved in the project. Other minor revisions such as the insertion of “contributing,” “character” and “materials” is consistent with language in the IEBC and with standard historic preservation practice in Denver. Consistent with the intent of CS01.6, the proposed amendment would also exempt IECC compliance for specific improvements when “documentation” is provided from the local preservation authority or “a letter” is provided from the State Historic Preservation Office demonstrating that compliance with specific IECC provisions will pose negative impacts to a historic structure (as opposed to a report).

Reasons: The local amendment would allow the licensed contractor on a project to submit the report demonstrating that compliance with the IECC would negatively impact a historic building, but only in those cases where a registered design professional is not involved. This language is consistent with a proposed local amendment to the IEBC, and acknowledges that a contractor may be the only technical professional involved on small discrete projects. Other minor revisions in language are also recommended to be consistent with language already in the IEBC and to be consistent with standard historic preservation practice nationally and in Denver.

Similar to the existing code language, the amendment would not require IECC compliance in those cases where the local preservation authority or the State Historic Preservation Office determines that the proposed work would negatively impact a historic structure. The involvement of the local preservation authority or the State Historic Preservation Office helps to avoid conflicts between the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation, and other preservation design guidelines used by the local preservation authority or the State Historic Preservation Office.

The amendment proposes to change the requirement for the local preservation authority to submit documentation instead of a report. Similarly, the amendment proposes to change the requirement for the State Historic Preservation Office to submit a letter instead of a report. It is the intent that this documentation or letter would specify the direct negative impact on the historic building caused by compliance with a specific IECC provision. The letter and documentation requirement reflect current practice and means of communication between the local preservation authority (landmark preservation staff in Community Planning and Development), the State Historic Preservation Office and the code official.

Referenced Standards:
List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code and provide a minimum of one electronic copy. Should the amendment proposal be recommended for inclusion in the amendment package, you must provide two hard copies.
No new reference standards

**Impact:**
*Discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and answer the three questions below on the impact of the amendment proposal.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of the proposed amendment on the cost of design:</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Reduce</th>
<th>No Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect of the proposed amendment on the cost of construction:</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Reduce</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the amendment proposal more or less restrictive than the I-Codes?</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>Same</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Departmental Impact:**
*To be filled out by CPD/DFD*

**Note:** The department shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of the proposed amendment on the time to review:</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Reduce</th>
<th>No Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect of the proposed amendment on the cost of enforcement/inspection:</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Reduce</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>