DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: Eric Browning
eric.browning@denvergov.org
Date: March 24, 2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKEOUT, AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided? ☐ Yes ☒ No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC- xxx</td>
<td>Denver Building Code– xxx code base</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**

2018 IBC Section 306 (New)

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**

Add Group F-1 definition of Greenhouse as shown:

**SECTION 306**

**FACTORY GROUP F**

Section 306.2 Moderate-hazard factory industrial, Group F-1, is revised by adding the following:

**SECTION 306.2** Moderate-hazard factory industrial, Group F-1.

Greenhouses used for the growing, processing, or other use of marijuana or hemp plants, products or byproducts and not classified as a Group H.

**Note:** Show the proposal using **bold**, **underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution
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Supporting Information:

Purpose: Define the historic use and occupancy of a Greenhouse used for marijuana or hemp in Denver.

Reasons: Because IBC Section 312.1.1 (and others) were added – the need to clarify Denver’s classification of MJ and hemp grow and processing facilities as F-1 is critical to them not being defaulted to Use Group U. Since 2010, Denver has been a national leader in the regulation of marijuana grow and processing facilities. In 2018, the IBC has subdivided Greenhouse definitions through Chapter 3; but did not include a designation under Group F. Because hemp is similar in growth, process, and hazard, it is included in the F-1 definition.

Note: The following items are required to be included:

Purpose: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

Reasons: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

Substantiation: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

Bibliography (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

Referenced Standards:

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

Impact:

Note: The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; ☒ Increase ☐ Reduce ☐ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; ☒ Increase ☐ Reduce ☐ No Effect
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; ☒ More ☐ Less ☐ Same

Departmental Impact:

This reference will be very helpful to Plan reviewers by cross-referencing critical provisions of Chapter 14 of the IBC.

Note: The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; ☒ Increase ☐ Reduce ☐ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; ☒ Increase ☐ Reduce ☐ No Effect