1) Name: Glenn Matthewson  
on behalf of The Mozingo Code Group  
Allen Yanong  
Date: 3/26/2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being **BOLDING**, **STRIKEOUT**, and **UNDERLINING**. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided? ☐ Yes ☒ No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-xxx</td>
<td>Denver Building Code–xxx code base</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IECC</td>
<td>International Energy Conservation Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Table/ Figures Proposed for Revision:**
DBC-IBC Appendix Q, SECTION Q101 INTRODUCTION (and subsections).

*Note:* If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**
Delete without substitution.

*Note:* Show the proposal using **strikeout**, **underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:
- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

**SECTION Q101 INTRODUCTION (and subsections).** See below

**Q101.1 Background.** This section provides a history of the development of Appendix Q since 1990. As stated within the body of the text, policies were written to address the deficiencies of the base code. The 2018 IBC, Section 1010, addresses most of the types of access control systems found in Appendix Q. As this section is narrative in nature, it can be deleted from the DBC.

**Q101.2 Purpose and intent.** This section provides a description on the how Appendix Q is utilized. The initial sentence states “The purpose of this appendix is to combine all of the various DBC requirements and previous policy requirements for access control documents.” The 2018 IBC addresses most of the situations found in Appendix Q. And while all information relevant to access control may
not be captured in one document or section, the 2018 IBC does provide proper paths to related sections and or definitions. Additionally, this section does not specifically address any section of the base code and can be deleted.

**Q101.3 Prevailing policy.** This section lists the previous access control policies that were adopted by the City and County and Denver. These policies have been superseded by the current Appendix Q. The 2018 IBC and its provisions for access control will now supersede Appendix Q. This section is informative and does not specifically address any section of the base code and can be deleted.

**Q101.4 Modified IBC provisions.** This section lists the current sections of the 2015 IBC that have been amended to reference Appendix Q. The 2018 IBC and its provisions for access control will now supersede Appendix Q and thus this section can be deleted.

**Note:** The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

None

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction:  
  - Increase  
  - Reduce  
  - No Effect

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design:  
  - Increase  
  - Reduce  
  - No Effect

- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes:  
  - More  
  - Less  
  - Same

**Departmental Impact:**

None

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review:  
  - Increase  
  - Reduce  
  - No Effect

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection:  
  - Increase  
  - Reduce  
  - No Effect