DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: Daniel Krausz
   daniel.krausz@denvergov.org
   Date: 3/26/19

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKEOUT AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided? ☐ Yes ☒ No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-xxx</td>
<td>Denver Building Code– xxx code base</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IEC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**
DBC-IBC 403.5.2

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**

Delete without substitution:

Section 403.5.2 Additional interior exit stairway is amended by deleting the exception:

**Note:** Show the proposal using **strikeout**, **underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

This proposal is to delete the previous amendment and reinstate the IBC text. The IBC excepts a very high building from providing an additional exit stairway if the building has an occupant self-evacuation elevator.

Per DFD, occupant self-evacuation elevators were untested during the previous code-cycle but have since become more widely used and their performance is reliable. So, although the IBC exception could not be adopted by Denver previously, there is no a reason not to include the IBC exception in the DBC.
**Note:** The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

---

### Referenced Standards:

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

### Impact:

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; □ Increase ☒ Reduce □ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; □ Increase □ Reduce □ No Effect
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; □ More □ Less ☒ Same

### Departmental Impact:

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; □ Increase □ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; □ Increase □ Reduce ☒ No Effect