AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**

- DBC-IBC 1020.1

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**

Revise Denver amendment as follows:

**SECTION 1020 CORRIDORS**

Section 1020.1 Construction is amended by replacing Exception 4 in its entirety with the following and by adding Exceptions 6 and 7:

**Exceptions:**

4. Corridor walls and ceilings need not be of fire-resistive construction within single-tenant office spaces.

7. Corridor walls and ceilings need not be of fire-resistive construction when serving a conference or assembly room having an occupant load of less than 100 located within a single-tenant office space.

6. Corridor walls and ceilings need not be of fire-resistive construction within single-tenant office spaces.

5. Corridor walls and ceilings need not be of fire-resistive construction when serving a conference or assembly room having an occupant load of less than 100 located within a single-tenant office space.
Note: Show the proposal using **strikeout**, *underline* format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

Supporting Information:

The purpose of this proposal is to carry forward the previous two amendments, but renumber them so that Exception 4 of the base building code remains.

The current amendment replaces Exception 4 to allow unrated corridors for single-tenant office spaces. By doing so, it eliminates an exception that applies to small B occupancies, therefore imposing a more strict requirement for B uses other than office. As currently adopted, B occupancies requiring only one exit, such as small restaurants, exercise spaces and hair salons, are required to provide rated corridors in an unsprinklered building. There are no characteristics specific to Denver that require a more restrictive requirement for these uses.

Note: The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

Referenced Standards:

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

Impact:

This proposal is less-restrictive than the 2018 IBC for single tenant office spaces, but for all other small B uses, the same.

Note: The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction;  ☐ Increase ☒ Reduce ☐ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design;  ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes;  ☐ More ☒ Less ☐ Same

Departmental Impact:

Click or tap here to enter text.

Note: The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review;  ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection;  ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect