DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: Street Schellhase, PE
dstreet.schellhase@denvergov.org
Date: March 19, 2019
Revised September 24, 2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being **BOLDING**, **STRIKEOUT** AND **UNDERLINING**. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided? ☐ Yes ☒ No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-xxx</td>
<td>Denver Building Code– xxx code base</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**

DBC-IBC Section 3112.3
(Section 3112 should be editorially revised to be Section 3114)

*Note: If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).*

**Proposal:**

**Revise as follows:**

31124.3 Permits required. Manufactured or factory-built structures shall comply with the provisions of the Denver Building Code to the extent outlined in Table 31124.3.

Construction documents shall be submitted for review and approval in accordance with the Administrative Section of this Code for all manufactured or factory-built structures prior to the issuance of permits.

One set of plans of the factory-built structure, bearing the stamp of approval of DOH or a DOH Authorized Inspection Agency, shall be submitted for use as the City’s Record Set. A second, unstamped set of plans shall be submitted and for use by the City Assessor’s office in accordance with the Administrative Section of this Code. An existing factory-built structure that does not have DOH approved plans shall be shown to comply with the International Existing Building Code. Two sets of permanent or temporary foundation plans signed and sealed in accordance with the Administrative Section of this Code shall be submitted for Factory-Built Non-residential Structures. Two sets of Foundation plans signed and sealed in accordance with the Administrative Section of this Code shall be submitted for permanently located Factory-Built Housing Units.

*Note: Show the proposal using **strikeout**, **underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:*

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution
Supporting Information:

Purpose: Update the amendment to correlate with current department permit submittal requirements and provide submittal requirements for an existing factory-built structure that does not have DOH approved plans.

Reasons: The amendment as written does not make allowance for electronic document review procedures now allowed by the department. Clarification that existing factory-built structures without DOH approved plans must comply with the IEBC was needed.

Substantiation: Amendment Section 3112.3 should reference the administrative provisions of the code for submittal requirements rather than directly provide specific submittal requirements that may be inconsistent with current practice. The amendment should also provide a clear path for how factory built structures lacking DOH approved plans are to demonstrate compliance with the code.


Note: The following items are required to be included:
Purpose: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)
Reasons: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.
Substantiation: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.
Bibliography (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

Referenced Standards:
None

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

Impact:
Neutral

Note: The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; ☐ More ☐ Less ☒ Same

Departmental Impact:
Neutral

Note: The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect