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Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided? ☐ Yes ☒ No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-xxx</td>
<td>Denver Building Code–xxx code base</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IEBE</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-IEBC Section 1202.4 Replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBC-IEBC Section 1203.4 Transoms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposal:

Delete without substitution:

Section 1202.4 Replacement is replaced in its entirety with the following:

1202.4 Repair and Replacement. Repair and replacement of existing or missing features using original materials shall be permitted. Partial replacement for repairs that match the original in configuration, height and size shall be permitted. Such replacements shall not be required to meet the materials and methods requirements delineated elsewhere in the International Existing Building Code.

Replacement glazing in hazardous locations shall comply with the safety glazing requirements of Chapter 24 of the International Building Code.

Exception: Glass block walls, louvered windows, and jalousies repaired with like materials.

Section 1203.4 Transoms has been amended by adding an exception to read as follows:

1203.4 Transoms. In fully sprinklered buildings of Group R-1, R-2 or R-3 occupancy, existing
transoms in corridors and other fire-resistant walls may be maintained if fixed in the closed position. A sprinkler shall be installed on each side of the transom.

**Exception:** Transoms conforming to 1205.8 shall be accepted in un-sprinklered buildings.

**Supporting Information:**

The purpose of this proposal is to delete unnecessary amendments.

Section 1203.4 amendment adds the word ‘repair.’ However, repairs are already addressed by the IEBC to the same effect. Partial replacement for repairs is addressed in this section and repairs not involving replacement are governed by IEBC Chapter 4, which allows continued use of original materials. Section 1203.4 also adds a sentence to clarify that replacements allowed by this section of the IEBC ‘shall not be required to meet the materials and methods requirements delineated elsewhere in the International Existing Building Code.’ The addition of this text is unnecessary because the purpose of this Chapter is to offer relief to historic buildings from requirements found elsewhere in the code.

Section 1203.4 adds an exception allowing unsprinklered buildings to comply with 1205.8 (now 1204.8). The IEBC reads to the same effect. 1203.4 is a requirement for transoms in sprinklered buildings and 1204.8 provides the requirement for unsprinklered buildings. Because unsprinklered buildings are subject only to the requirements of 1204.8 and are not subject to the requirements of 1203.4 they do not require an exception.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

*List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.*

**Impact:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; ☐ More ☐ Less ☒ Same

**Departmental Impact:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; ☐ Increase ☐ Reduce ☒ No Effect