1) Name: Keith Peetz  
Keith.peetz@denvergov.org  
Date: March 1, 2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being **BOLDING**, **STRIKEOUT** AND **UNDERLINING**. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No): NO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IRC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**

DBCA Section 103.7

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**

**103.7 Moved Buildings or Structures.** Buildings or structures moved into the City shall comply with the provisions of this Code for new buildings or structures, the *International Existing Building Code*, as amended.

**Note:** Show the proposal using **strikeout, underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

• Revise as follows
• Add new text as follows
• Delete and substitute as follows
• Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

1. 2018 IBC Section 101.4.7 does not require relocated existing buildings to conform the requirements for new construction. Relocated buildings are required to comply with the requirements of IEBC Chapter 14.
2. The 2016 DBC amendments to the IEBC do not require compliance with the provisions of this Code for new construction

**Note:** The following items are required to be included:

November 15, 2005
Purpose: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

Reasons: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

Substantiation: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

Bibliography (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

Referenced Standards:

None

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

Impact:

The stated purpose of the International Existing Building Code (IEBC) is to encourage the use and re-use of existing buildings while requiring reasonable upgrades. The provisions of the 2016 DBC which require moved buildings to conform to the requirements for new buildings complicates

Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

Departmental Impact:

No department effect.

Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: