DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: Jessica McQuinn  
   Date: 03/20/19

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKEOUT AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Is separate graphic file provided? ☐ Yes ☒ No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-xxx</td>
<td>Denver Building Code– xxx code base</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**
DBC-IBC 1007.1.1

*Note: If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).*

**Proposal:**

Delete without substitution:

DBC-IBC 1007.1.1
**SECTION 1007**

**EXIT AND EXIT ACCESS DOORWAY CONFIGURATION**

Section 1007.1.1 Two exits or exit access doorway is revised by adding Exception 3 as follows:

**Exceptions:**

In buildings constructed prior to March 26, 1994, two existing stairways within the same enclosure but completely separated from each other by rated construction in conformance with the requirements of the building code under which the building was last certified for occupancy (scissor stairs), including Modifications Under Special Circumstances and applicable retrofit ordinances, shall be considered two separate exits, provided:

This scissor stair is currently recognized as two separate exits.

This scissor stair has been used continuously as two separate exits since the building was certified for occupancy.

The number of existing exits shall not be reduced.

The doors leading into each side of the exit enclosure for scissor stairs shall not be required to meet the dimensional separation requirements of Section 1007.1.1.

**Note:** Show the proposal using *strikethrough, underline* format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

The purpose of this proposal is to delete the amendment without substitution. This amendment relates to egress in existing buildings and should be relocated to the IEBC. Separate proposals have been made to include this language in the 2018 IEBC.

**Note:** The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Departmental Impact:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Click or tap here to enter text.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The proponent shall discuss the impact of the proposed amendment and indicate one of the following for each point below regarding the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction;  
  - [ ] Increase  
  - [ ] Reduce  
  - ☒ [ ] No Effect

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design;  
  - [ ] Increase  
  - [ ] Reduce  
  - ☒ [ ] No Effect

- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes;  
  - [ ] More  
  - [ ] Less  
  - ☒ [ ] Same

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review;  
  - [ ] Increase  
  - [ ] Reduce  
  - ☒ [ ] No Effect

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection;  
  - [ ] Increase  
  - [ ] Reduce  
  - ☒ [ ] No Effect