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2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKETR Ik OUT AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.
   Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IRC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL
Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

Code Sections/Tables/ Figures Proposed for Revision:

DBC -IBC Section 3202.2

Note: If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

Proposal:

Revise Denver amendment as follows:

Section 3202.2 Encroachments above grade and below 8 feet in height. All encroachments shall comply with the Denver Revised Municipal Code, Chapter 49, Rules & Regulations of the Department of Public Works, and all departmental published standards.

The exterior doors of buildings located within the boundary described as - beginning at the intersection of East 14th Avenue and Grant Street, thence north on Grant Street to 21st Street, thence northwest on 21st Street to Blake Street, thence southwest on Blake Street to Cherry Creek, thence southeast on Cherry Creek to West 14th Avenue, thence east on 14th Avenue to the point of beginning - shall not project more than one (1) foot beyond the property line, except that in alleys no projection beyond property line is permitted.

The exterior doors of buildings located outside of the above-described described boundary that swing over the property line shall be maintained normally closed.

Exception:
1. Existing doors and windows of historic buildings whether individually designated or contributing buildings in a Historic District or in buildings 50 years or older. New entrance/exit doors constructing in historic facades may encroach up to 3’ into the street frontage of the right of way when a recessed opening is not in character with the existing façade.

2. In new construction, doors and windows shall not open or project into the public right of way.

November 15, 2005
Supporting Information:

The proposed revision is intended to harmonize the Denver Building Code requirements for door encroachments into the public right-of-way with those of the Denver Revised Municipal Code, Section 49-345. The land area within the described boundary is that which historically was defined as Fire Zone 1 and Fire Zone 2. Fire Zone 1 is wholly surrounded by Fire Zone 2.

Referenced Standards:

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

Impact:

More restrictive requirements for out-swinging doors of historic-designated buildings and buildings 50 years or older undergoing a change of use within the described boundary, less restrictive requirements for younger and new buildings with out-swinging doors within the described boundary, where previously no projection was allowed. Less restrictive requirements for all buildings outside of the defined boundary. In the case where an existing historically designated building must be altered due to a change in use which requires an out-swinging exterior door the proposed change may initiate a conflict between building code requirements and landmark preservation guidelines.

Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

Departmental Impact:

Additional staff review time will be required to be contributed to assist in the resolution of conflicting requirements between the Denver Building Code, Denver Revised Municipal Code, and Landmark Preservation Guidelines.

Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: