1) Name: Charles Bartel  Date: 3/11/2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKEOUT AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBC-IPC 413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

Proposal:

Delete and substitute as follows

SECTION 413 416

FOOD WASTE DISPOSER UNITS

[CPB] Section 413.2 416.2 Domestic food waste disposer outlets is replaced with the following:

413.2 416.2 Domestic food waste disposer outlets. Domestic food waste outlets may be 1 ½” but shall be connected to a drain of at least 2” in diameter.

[PW] Section 413.3.1 416.3.1 Discharge into grease interceptor is added:

413.3.1 416.3.1 Discharge into grease interceptor. All food waste disposals in commercial kitchens shall be connected to and discharge into the grease interceptor.

Exception:

Vegetable preparation area disposal may discharge to either sanitary or grease waste system.

Note: Show the proposal using strikeout, underline format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

•Revise as follows
•Add new text as follows
•Delete and substitute as follows
•Delete without substitution

Supporting Information:

Update current amendments to match revised section in the 2018 IPC.
**Note:** The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Update current amendments to match revised section in the 2018 IPC.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

Update current amendments to match revised section in the 2018 IPC.

**Note:** The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

**Departmental Impact:**

No effect.

**Note:** Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: