1) Name: Keith Peetz  
Keith.peetz@denvergov.org  
Date: March 20, 2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being **BOLDING, STRIKEOUT** AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IRC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/ Figures Proposed for Revision:**

DBCA Section 103.10.1

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**


Amendments to the National Electrical Code: the date of the adopting ordinance.

**Exception:**

The effective date of Section 143 of this Chapter’s Administrative Provisions dealing with aircraft noise reduction shall be the date of the ordinance adopting Section 143 as an amendment to this Code.

**Note:** Show the proposal using **strikeout, underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

November 15, 2005
Supporting Information:

Prior to the adoption of the 2016 DBC the effective date was always four months after the date of adoption. In 2016 the length was changed to six months solely to accommodate the publication of COMcheck software based upon the provisions of the 2015 IECC.

Section 143 is now an existing provision in the DBC rather than a new section. Therefore, no notice is required prior to enforcement of section 143.

Note: The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose**: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons**: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation**: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards**:

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact**:

Reduces the amount of time, back to historical duration, an applicant is allowed to submit a design based upon the previous edition of the Denver Building Code.

Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

**Departmental Impact**: no effect

Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:

November 15, 2005