AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:

R402.2.3

IECC: R402.2.3

Note: If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

Proposal:

R40 2.2.3 Eave baffle. (Mandatory) For air-permeable insulations in vented attics, a baffle shall be installed adjacent to soffit and eave vents. Baffles shall maintain an opening equal or greater than the size of the vent. The baffle shall extend over the top of the attic insulation. The baffle shall be permitted to be any solid material.

Note: Show the proposal using strikeout, underline format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

Supporting Information:

Because Section R402.2 Specific Insulation Requirements (Prescriptive), is marked prescriptive...
R402.2.3 automatically becomes prescriptive but there is no reason that Eave Baffles should be allowed to be traded off.

Note: The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

The code change proposal may increase the cost of construction

Truly there should be no cost impact because eave baffles should have always been installed. However, if someone was trading them off there would be a cost for installing a baffle now. The cost is dependent on the material used, it could be as simple as a piece of cardboard. So, the cost would be for the labor.

Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

**Departmental Impact:**

If the inspector was never looking for the baffles there would be an impact in the field. Plan reviewers could specify eave baffles as mandatory on the plan.

Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: