AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFC 905.4, item 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposal:**

Revise as follows:

2. On each side of the wall adjacent to the exit opening of a horizontal exit.

**Exception:** Where floor areas adjacent to a horizontal exit are reachable from an interior exit stairway hose connection by a 30 feet (9144 mm) hose stream from a nozzle attached to 100 feet (30 480 mm) of hose, a hose connection shall not be required at the horizontal exit. Where all floor areas are reachable from an exit stairway hose connection on the same side of a horizontal exit within 200 ft for sprinklered buildings or 130 ft for non sprinklered buildings, the hose connection on the other side of the horizontal exit shall not be required.

**Supporting Information:**

NFPA 14 has been revised to include a 200’ distance at horizontal exits which has been copied below. This distance aligns with Department fireground tactics and will allow the omission of unneeded hoseline valves at this location.

Below is copied from 2016 NFPA 14

7.3.2.2.1* Where all floor areas are reachable from an exit stairway hose connection on the same side of a horizontal exit within the distances required by 7.3.2.2.1 or 7.3.2.2.1.2 as applicable, the hose connection on the other side of the horizontal exit shall be permitted to be omitted.

7.3.2.2.1.1 The travel distance in 7.3.2.2.1 shall be 200 ft (61 m) for sprinklered buildings.

7.3.2.2.1.2 The travel distance in 7.3.2.2.1 shall be 130 ft
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(39.7 m) for non sprinklered buildings.

**Referenced Standards:**

2016 NFPA 14

*List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.*

**Impact:**

Cost savings

*Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:*

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

**Departmental Impact:**

none

*Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:*

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: