DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: Brian Lukus Date: 3/20/2019
   Click or tap here to enter text.

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKEOUT, and UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:

DBC-IFC 3903.1.1

Proposal:

Revise Denver amendment as follows:

3903.1.1 Location. Extraction processes shall be performed in a room dedicated to the extraction process. Extraction processes utilizing Liquified Flammable Gases shall not be located in any building containing Group A, E, I, or R occupancies.

Supporting Information:

This section is taken from the Base IFC and is added to align with national code. Note the wording has been changed to liquefied flammable gasses (LGP) because the 2018 IBC includes “flammable gasses and flammable cryogenic liquids”; LPG does not fall into these hazardous material classifications and the 2018 IFC is written incorrectly.

Referenced Standards:

2018 IFC

Impact:

Traditionally extraction facilities have not been located in these occupancies in Denver. This amendment is to ensure the safety of the occupancies proposed.

Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

Departmental Impact:

November 15, 2005
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>● The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>