1) Name: David Kahn  
Date: 2-22-2019

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being **BOLDING**, **STRIKEOUT** AND **UNDERLINING**. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IECC</td>
<td>International Energy Conservation Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>International Plumbing Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEC</td>
<td>International Energy Conservation Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFGC</td>
<td>International Fuel Gas Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**

IMC 502.21

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**

Add new text as follows:

**502.21 Service sinks.** Service sinks shall be continuously exhausted at 75 cfm per service sink. Where service sinks are installed in a cabinet, the cabinet shall be continuously exhausted at 75 cfm per sink and provisions for make-up air shall be provided in the cabinet.

**Note:** Show the proposal using **strikeout**, **underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

**Purpose:** This incorporates the exhaust requirements that Denver has been enforcing.

**Reasons:** The cleaning chemicals, mop and sink odors should not permeate the areas around mop sinks.

**Substantiation:** ASHRAE 62.1-2016 Table 6.5 requires 1 cfm/ft\(^2\) exhaust for janitor’s closets.

**Note:** The following items are required to be included:

**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements...
shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; No Effect.

**Note:** The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

**Departmental Impact:**

The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review: No Effect.

**Note:** Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
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