**AMENDMENT PROPOSAL**

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New: IMC 506.3.11 Exception 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**

*(NEW)*

2. A duct enclosure shall not be required for a grease duct that penetrates only an exterior wall and all of the following are true.

2.1. The grease duct does not penetrate, or pass through openings, gaps, or holes in rated or non-rated interior walls or shafts.

2.2. The grease duct does not leave the tenant boundary.

**Note:** Show the proposal using *strikeout*, *underline* format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

1. **Purpose:** To simplify the requirements for grease ducts and equipment when the duct exhausts through a side wall.

2. **Reasons:**
   a. This proposal eliminates the requirement to enclose grease ducts, and by association, PCUs and exhaust fans that do not penetrate any interior walls or leave the tenant space. Similar to Exception 1 that exempts grease ducts that penetrate only a nonfire-resistance rated roof/ceiling assembly.

---

**Acronym** | **Code Name** |
---|---|
IBC | International Building Code |
IECC | International Energy Conservation Code |
IEBC | International Existing Building Code |
IFC | International Fire Code |
IPC | International Plumbing Code |
IRC | International Residential Code |
IFGC | International Fuel Gas Code |
IMC | International Mechanical Code |
b. Grease ducts that penetrate an exterior wall where protected openings are not required have similar fire hazard potentials as grease ducts that penetrate non fire-rated ceiling roof assemblies provided that the ducts do not penetrate any other construction. Kitchen ceiling space is typically limited and enclosing PCUs, and exhaust fans in rated construction restricts access for cleaning and maintenance.

Note: The following items are required to be included:

Purpose: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

Reasons: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

Substantiation: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

Bibliography (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

Referenced Standards:

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

Impact:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Reduce.
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Reduce.
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; Less.

Note: The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same:

Departmental Impact:

The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review: Reduce.
The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection: Reduce.

Note: Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: