DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: David Kahn
   Date: 2-22-2019
   Click or tap here to enter text.

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being BOLDING, STRIKETHROUGH AND UNDERLINING. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Code Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IBC</td>
<td>International Building Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IECC</td>
<td>International Energy Conservation Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEBC</td>
<td>International Existing Building Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Fire Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>International Plumbing Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFC</td>
<td>International Residential Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFGC</td>
<td>International Fuel Gas Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMC</td>
<td>International Mechanical Code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/ Figures Proposed for Revision:**
IPC 410.4

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**
(Revise as follows 😊)

**410.4 Substitution.** Where restaurants provide drinking water in a container free of charge, drinking fountains shall not be required in those restaurants. In other occupancies where drinking fountains are required, water dispensers shall be permitted to be substituted for not more than 50 percent of the required number of drinking fountains that are in excess of the one high and one low drinking fountain required by 410.3.

**Note:** Show the proposal using strikethrough, underline format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

**Reasons:** The code as written is not clear that there must be at least one high and one low drinking fountain before the water dispenser substitution can be used.

**Note:** The following items are required to be included:
Purpose: The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

Reasons: The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

Substantiation: The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

Bibliography (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect.

**Note:** The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect;
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect;
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same;

**Departmental Impact:**

The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Reduce.

**Note:** Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect;
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect;
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