DENVER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL FORM
FOR CPD INTERNAL PROPOSALS TO THE 2016 DENVER BUILDING CODE AMENDMENTS AND THE 2018 INTERNATIONAL CODES

2018 CODE DEVELOPMENT CYCLE

1) Name: David Kahn 
Date: 2-22-2019

David.Kahn@denvergov.org

2) Proposals should be drafted in Word with the only formatting that is needed being **BOLDING**, **STRIKEOUT** AND **UNDERLINING**. Please do not provide additional formatting such as tabs, columns, etc.

Please use a separate form for each proposal submitted.

Is separate graphic file provided (Yes or No):

**Acronym** | **Code Name**
--- | ---
IBC | International Building Code
IECC | International Energy Conservation Code
IEBC | International Existing Building Code
IFC | International Fire Code

**Acronym** | **Code Name**
--- | ---
IPC | International Plumbing Code
IRC | International Residential Code
IFGC | International Fuel Gas Code
IMC | International Mechanical Code

AMENDMENT PROPOSAL

Please provide all of the following items in your amendment proposal.

**Code Sections/Tables/Figures Proposed for Revision:**
IMC 402.2

**Note:** If the proposal is for a new section, indicate (new).

**Proposal:**
(New Proposal)
Add new text at the end of the existing section

If windows used for natural ventilation are required to have window fall prevention devices by IBC 1015.8, only the normal opening area can be used in the calculation for natural ventilation. The open area of each window and door used for natural ventilation shall be shown on the drawings.

**Note:** Show the proposal using **strikeout**, **underline** format. At the beginning of each section, one of the following instruction lines are also needed:

- Revise as follows
- Add new text as follows
- Delete and substitute as follows
- Delete without substitution

**Supporting Information:**

Purpose: This proposal clarifies that the full open emergency egress position of the windows cannot be used as the area for natural ventilation.

Reasons: Using this area would encourage occupants to override the normal operating position which defeats the reason to have the guards.

**Note:** The following items are required to be included:
**Purpose:** The proponent shall clearly state the purpose of the proposed amendment to physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver (e.g., clarify the Code; revise outdated material; substitute new or revised material for physical, environmental and customary characteristics; add new requirements to the Code; delete current requirements, etc.)

**Reasons:** The proponent shall justify changing the current Code provisions, stating why the proposal is necessary to reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver. Proposals that add or delete requirements shall be supported by a logical explanation which clearly shows why the current does not reflect physical, environmental and customary characteristics that are specific to the City and County of Denver and explains how such proposals will improve the Code.

**Substantiation:** The proponent shall substantiate the proposed amendment based on technical information and substantiation. Substantiation provided which is reviewed and determined as not germane to the technical issues addressed in the proposed amendment shall be identified as such.

**Bibliography** (as needed): The proponent shall submit a bibliography when substantiating material is associated with the amendment proposal. The proponent shall make the substantiating materials available for review.

**Referenced Standards:**

Click or tap here to enter text.

List any new referenced standards that are proposed to be referenced in the code.

**Impact:**

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; No Effect.

**Note:** The proponent shall indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of construction; Increase, Reduce, No Effect.
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of design; Increase, Reduce, No Effect.
- Is the amendment proposal more- or less-restrictive than the I-Codes; More, Less, Same.

**Departmental Impact:**

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; No Effect.

**Note:** Indicate one of the following regarding the impact of the amendment proposal:

- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of review; Increase, Reduce, No Effect:
- The effect of the amendment proposal on the cost of enforcement/inspection; Increase, Reduce, No Effect: