

Platte to Park Hill: Stormwater Systems Public Input Report *City Park Golf Course Redesign Drop-In* July 30, 2016

Introduction and Executive Summary

The City Park Golf Course Redesign Drop-In meeting for *Platte to Park Hill: Stormwater Systems* was held Saturday, July 30, from 8am-12pm at the City Park Golf Course clubhouse.

More than 120 community members and golfers attended the workshop, allowing for in-depth one-on-one discussions and community engagement. The event was also well attended by approximately half (~10 members) of the City Park Golf Course Redesign Workgroup; a project advisory group comprised of leadership from local community, golf and civic organizations.

The project team and Workgroup members applied multiple interactive input exercises to gather community feedback on preferences for the development of design guidelines. Digital and paper surveys were also provided for attendees to offer specific feedback and help prioritize must-haves, hopes and concerns for the project.

Outside of the event, surveys were collected through email and via the project website through August 9th. A total of 120 comment forms and emails were submitted and/or completed during this timeframe.

A breakdown of key themes and responses to specific questions can be found below. A table containing raw comments submitted during the meeting and in timeframe before and after can be found at the end of this report.

The following key themes emerged from the surveys, drop-in, and overall input opportunities:

- **Opportunity:** Community members and golfers alike recognize the opportunity to update City Park Golf Course while preserving its historic and community significance. While there are strong preferences to make better use of the views and course location through innovative design, the priority is ultimately on enhancing course playability.
- **Clubhouse Location:** Community members identified strong interest in enhancing clubhouse views, while balancing potential impacts to neighborhood views and traffic. High quality, aesthetically pleasing and modern course facilities were consistently identified as a community priority.
- **Preservation:** Preservation of trees, views and the historic character of the course were common themes throughout the drop-in and survey, although more prominent in the latter. Many see the redesign as an opportunity to highlight the historic nature of the course while others desire no change to the existing features.
- **Surrounding Community:** There is a strong focus on the potential for improving multi-modal access to and around the course for golfers, bikers, runners, and walkers. The community also prioritizes maximizing course/clubhouse views while minimizing impact to neighborhood views. The redesign efforts should not lose sight of the main goal to provide flood control to the surrounding community.

- **Project Information:** Participants noted a significant amount of inaccurate information exists related to the project and desire additional information, including visuals, budget and schedule. Without this information, many are concerned that the project will face time or funding limitations which will negatively impact the final quality of the redesign.

Hopes, Concerns, and Must-Haves Survey

Based on previous planning efforts and community input, the City Park Golf Course Redesign Workgroup identified initial must-haves, hopes and concerns for consideration by the broader community. Survey respondents ranked these preferences on a scale of 1 to 4, with “1” being most important and “4” least important.

Survey results and key themes reflecting the rankings and general comments submitted are outlined below:

Must-Haves

<i>Relative Importance</i>	<i>Priority</i>	<i>Average Survey Ranking</i>
1 st	Trees: Preserve and protect course trees	2.01
2 nd	High Quality: Sustainable, functional and modern course facilities	2.12
3 rd	Views: Preserve and protect course views	2.51
4 th	Course Updates: Identify opportunities to improve play and practice areas	2.84

- **Trees:** Respondents noted that tree preservation should come before cost considerations. Special attention should be paid to healthy mature trees and those with historic significance. In contrast, other commenters stated that the best course design should not be sacrificed for tree preservation.
- **Course Updates and Community Asset:** Many respondents noted that City Park Golf Course could become an even greater asset to golfers and non-golfers alike with a redesign that increases the challenge to the course and also creates opportunities for updated multi-use facilities, like the clubhouse.
- **Historical Significance:** Many respondents commented that the redesign must preserve and highlight the historic character of the course. Specific examples include the memorials located throughout the course and the significance of the use of the course by African Americans.
- **Flood Control:** Although not listed as an option, several respondents commented that flood control would be their highest priority must-have. As the basis for the project, this would be the most essential item and would focus on safety for surrounding neighborhoods.

Hopes

Relative Importance	Priority	Average Survey Ranking
1 st	Aesthetics: Beautifully design facilities and enhanced views	2.19
2 nd	Community Asset: Improved neighborhood connectivity and community access	2.40
3 rd	Innovation: Sustainable and innovative design that reflects neighborhood character	2.46
4 th	Golf Destination: Opportunity to be Denver’s flagship golf course	2.88

Many hopes overlapped heavily with the must-haves for the project, particularly in a focus on the opportunities for the golf course and surrounding neighborhood.

- **Community Asset:** Respondents noted that the course should be redesigned not only for golfers, but also to maintain its status as a community asset and gathering space. The clubhouse and expansion of First Tee programming were specifically noted as opportunities to bring multiple uses to the course that benefit the entire community.
- **Access:** Respondents noted a desire for updated pedestrian access to the course and its perimeter. Respondents also noted that vehicular access off of either 23rd or 26th Avenue should be designed to reduce potential for traffic congestion.
- **Golf Destination:** While participants noted that the course could take further advantage of surrounding views and location, they also stated that the nature of the course, including its historic character and affordability, should be maintained. This balance would be reflected in an innovative design.

Concerns

Relative Importance	Priority	Average Survey Ranking
1 st	Cost and Timeline: Unrealistic cost, schedule or construction process	2.19
2 nd	Look and Feel: Poor design and facilities	2.26
3 rd	Impacted Views: Loss or impact to existing views	2.45
4 th	Community Perception: Misinformation about the project	2.52

- **Playability:** Concern exists that the function of the course may be altered or diminished with integrated detention. In particular, respondents were concerned that funding restrictions may result in poor design or sacrifice of needed updates. Some considered the timeline unrealistic and were concerned with closure of the golf course.
- **Impact to Surrounding Neighborhood:** Along with impact to existing views, respondents were concerned with potential impacts to access and property values both during construction and in the long-term.
- **Community Perception:** Respondents were concerned that misinformation in the community may prevent the project from being successful.
- **Environmental Impact:** Strong preference exists for preservation of trees on the course. Additionally, there is concern that the nature of stormwater detention may incur health hazards, such as stagnant or polluted water.

Redesign Drop-In

At the drop-in workshop on July 30, 2016, community members were asked to provide input on potential clubhouse locations and the trade-offs associated with redesign priorities. The following outlines input results and key themes for each topic.

Potential Clubhouse Locations

A roll plot map highlighting three potential clubhouse location areas was used to refine challenges and opportunities associated with each as identified by the Redesign Workgroup. Participants placed sticky dots on the issues they felt were most important or the specific locations they liked most.

Existing Clubhouse Location

Rank	Existing Clubhouse Location	Sticky Dots
Opportunities		
1 st	Maintain views of surrounding trees	7
2 nd	Retains existing location	4
3 rd	Short-term project cost savings	2
Challenges		
1 st	Lack of sufficient space for First Tee staff, community events and future growth	2
2 nd	Current flooding that occurs at this low point of the course	1
3 rd	Increased maintenance costs over time	1

Dots on existing location (not associated with specific opportunities or challenges)

10

Other considerations for existing clubhouse location:

- Keep historic look in mind if building a new clubhouse. The facility should represent the historic nature of the course.
- Consider access off 23rd Avenue to protect property values on 26th Avenue.
- Consider stormwater detention being integrated into a unique course feature.

Mid-Hill Clubhouse Location

Rank	Mid-Hill Clubhouse Location	Sticky Dots
Opportunities		
1 st	Enhanced course views without detracting from neighborhood views	11
2 nd	Possibility to utilize space by integrating detention into the driving range	7
3 rd	Access available off 23rd Avenue	4
4 th	Better access to the full course	4
Challenges		
1 st	Cost to build	1
2 nd	Increased walk time to/from the west side of the course	0
<i>Dots on mid-hill location (not associated with specific opportunities or challenges)</i>		1

Other considerations to mid-hill clubhouse location:

- Consider improvements to the intersection on 23rd Avenue.
- Provide access through course to get to Zoo and East High School.
- Increased access may have trade-offs with decreased parking.

- 26th Avenue should be the area to focus pedestrian and vehicle traffic where it exists today. Access through the golf course causes safety concerns for the neighborhood and golf course.
- 23rd Avenue is a busy, non-residential street which could be improved as necessary to handle traffic.
- Ensure that the quality of golf facilities and experience measure up to the phenomenal potential – commit to the “vision” and money to make sure it happens. This entails monitoring the process and demanding accountability.

Top Hill Clubhouse Location

Rank	Top Hill Clubhouse Location	Sticky Dots
Opportunities		
1 st	Best vantage point for views of the city and mountains	10
2 nd	Little chance of flooding	0
Challenges		
1 st	Impacted views for surrounding neighborhood	11
2 nd	Potential access and traffic consideration given nearby zoo entrance	5
3 rd	Cost to build	3
Dots on top hill location (not associated with specific opportunities or challenges)		25

Other considerations for top hill location:

- Do not degrade property value (2 dots were given to this consideration).
- Do not impact 26th with more traffic; it’s a residential street.
- Consider bike/ pedestrian path on perimeter if possible with safety considerations.
- Consider North-South connectivity and formalized pedestrian/bike access from 26th to 23rd Avenues.
- Keep portion of golf course open during construction (i.e.: driving range, short game)

Note two other considerations mentioned which are unrelated to potential clubhouse locations: A dog park on York St. and 23rd Ave. Detention in fields on 23rd Ave and Colorado Blvd (2 dots)

Redesign Preferences & Trade-offs Exercise

The drop-in workshop also provided an opportunity for community members to engage in a redesign preferences exercise beginning with the bare minimum requirements (not necessarily existing conditions) for City Park Golf Course:

- Par 70, 18-Hole Course (Including Detention)
- One Consolidated Maintenance Building
- First Tee (Existing)
- Driving Range (Warm-Up Nets Only)
- Clubhouse

Participants were then able to choose from other potential components to add onto the bare minimum listed above and was limited to what could spatially fit into the area of City Park Golf Course. The number of individuals who selected each potential preference are summarized in the following table:

Redesign Preference	Highest Priority (Green Dots)	Lowest Priority (Red Dots)
Expanded Driving Range (Drivers)	11	7
Existing Course Length (Par 72)	10	7
Shorter 18 Hole Course (Par 70)	8	3
Expanded First Tee (Double Existing Size)	6	3
Existing Driving Range (Irons Only)	5	3
Existing Putting/ Chipping Area	3	0
Expanded Putting/Chipping Area	2	6
Shorter 18 Hole Course (Par 71)	1	2
TOTAL	46	31

The following key themes emerged during the exercise and associated discussion:

- **Course Par:** While many golfers would ideally opt for the Par 72 course, they see potential in a Par 70 or 71 course that is well-designed and frees up space for expanding or adding other elements. In contrast, some golfers see maintaining a Par 72 course as a priority to maintain the existing appeal of the course.
- **Driving Range:** The majority of participants selected an expanded driving range or existing driving range as a priority over warm-up nets only. As with course par, many noted an expanded driving range is ideal, but realized the relative amount of space this requires would not be worth sacrificing two or three other elements.
- **Putting/Chipping Greens:** Given the ample size of the existing short game areas, few individuals opted for prioritizing an expanded putting/chipping area, especially given the high level of interest in other components such as driving range and par number.

- **First Tee:** Many participants identified an expanded First Tee as a priority. However, participants previously unaware of First Tee were not likely to opt for expanding First Tee. Others commented that they would like to integrate First Tee expansion, but not at a scale of double the existing size.

General Open-Ended Comments

Please note, the below comments appear as they were written. No edits have been made to spelling, grammar, format or content.

Must-Have Comments

A new "shack" with regular toilets - water system and more room.

Multi functional facility. Make it attractive and a destination, not just for golfing. A real kitchen/bar/facility for events.

I would suggest having plaques at each hole regarding information on memorial benches.

Important to show the history of Cit Park and let people know!

Improved running course on perimeter

Redo first three greens.

Traffic problems.

All these are a high priority - I cannot put one ahead of others since they all contribute significantly to the whole.

Leave the golf course as is - There is no demonstrated need to expand I-70 and the storm drainage is need only to accommodate I-70 expansion - A vote of Denver residents should be taken to validate this project. Cost info is inadequate.

Access is so important - 23rd makes sense but is already so busy

The ONLY must-have in my opinion is to protect the exist trees. Forcing someone like me to rank this other meaningless fluff as number 2, 3 and 4 creates erroneous results.

This golf course is a tremendous community asset hosting junior golf programs, very good and free practice areas and a modern new club house
I suggest you re-think using this area for a retention pond

The recreation of a quality clubhouse/restaurant facility is the best opportunity to increase revenue. Moving it and creating a great experience is the most beneficial upgrade.

Please DO NOT tear down the clubhouse. This project is ridiculous in the first place, but you can salvage what little credibility you have left by not destroying the nice facilities already in

place and by not building an ugly detention area that'll most likely be surrounded by ugly fences and barriers.

Evergreen (juniper, pine, spruce, etc.) plantings.

The other three choices are false choices as this is a bad idea to start with.

Trails along 26th and Colorado Blvd for joggers/walkers/bikers to exercise and to more easily access City Park, the Zoo, and the Science Museum.

It must be faithful to the original Bendelow design and recognize the historical importance of City Park for African-American golfers who moved to Denver in The Great Migration.

Pedestrian upgrades around the perimeter of the golf course. Detached, up-to-standard walking/running trail. Right now there's not even a sidewalk, which is terrible.

Our neighborhood trees majestic, scarce, and will take a century to regrow if cut down. They affect property values. It is hard to understand why this is the only place in this part of town where water can be diverted.

I would love to see the clubhouse take advantage of the Denver skyline and views.

City Park will never be a PGA Tour course, nor should it be. It is cheap, easy, and accessible. The easiest way to get more space is to make the course a par-70 course and add two short par 3 holes (100 yards each). Every beginner golfer will appreciate that. I am an intermediate golfer and I would love to see that. Any notion that City Park golf course needs to be a PGA tour ready type course is delusional. City Park golf course brings golf to people who would otherwise not have access via First Tee. Embrace what City Park golf course is and add a few short par 3 holes to make it more appealing for the beginner golfer and so that the course flows more quickly for the intermediate golfer waiting on the beginner golfer in front of them.

Preserve the history of the course--many top notch golfers used to play at this course--let us not forget the rich history and characters that met at City Park to play golf.

Don't destroy golf course for the Ditch.

Obvious item missing from this list - flood protection.

It's not just a place for golfers. It's habitat. It's open space. It's historic. Using it for a detention pond for highway expansion is an atrocity.

Having a championship 18-hole golf course in this neighborhood is vital.

The nature of the course should be improved. Anything that can be done to diversify the types of hazards and terrain would be appreciated. Shaping the holes so it doesn't feel like you're playing 18 straight holes would be great.

Aesthetics of the surrounding neighborhood for home owners in the area.

Remain accessible to the entire community through reasonable pricing.

Integrate storm basin needs without destroying the views, greenway and city park feel.

I think it's time to re-think whether we really need a golf course anymore. We live in a time where climate change is upon us and golf courses use tons of water and chemicals. I would like to see this area reverted to either a natural area or a community garden.

We would love, love, love to see a through path going through the golf course to make the zoo and City Park more accessible to Skyland residents - whether it's for vehicles or just for foot traffic doesn't matter.

Minimal impact of construction on surrounding neighborhoods

Club house remaining in same location.

The historic significance of the course is paramount in my evaluation. Preservation of most of the trees planted prior to 1960 is of primary importance, as is creation of a landform that preserves the pastoral and gently sloping character of the existing course. It is also essential that public works commit to maintenance of the course in perpetuity to maintain the high quality appearance of the course as it exists today without increasing maintenance costs to the parks department.

Flood mitigation to affected neighborhoods - most important!

planned walking trails around the perimeter so people/dogs aren't walking in the bike lane/street while golfers are playing.

Leave City Park alone. Don't cut the trees down. Don't put a detention sump there.

provide valuable flood storage to reduce flows reaching 39th Ave channel

This proposal must not happen.

I have found that this is one of the city's worst courses, which is a shame. Is it also the closest course for some of the city's poorest neighborhoods. It would be nice to finally see the neglected neighborhoods get updated amenities (which are already too few to begin with) that help combat the sensation of living in a poor area. Updated amenities will also attract new residents and/or visitors; which I can personally attest does not happen currently. People move to the area because it is one of the last affordable areas in Denver, not because it has nice amenities.

Like many other residents - thanks for destroying a wonderful park with a project that only lines the pockets of the corrupt organization.

Save the trees, assholes.

A re-designed playing field that also doubles as a storm water collection area.

The city demolished an historic club house to create the current one. It is immensely short sited to tear this one down just to build another one in another area. Really doesn't appear that the city cares about sustainability or functionality because there is ALREADY a modern facility on the site.

Move the club house and update to modern standards! It could be sooo fantastic!

I object to this project

It is a public park and I understand that the people need to vote on this. Will it be on the November ballot?

Do not create an open channel on 39th street. Make it a closed tunnel.

The course should serve more purposes then just golfing. Currently, it's beautiful for all the neighbors who share it.

No real opinions on the golf!

Flood control upstream of City Park, SE Park Hill and Montclair neighborhoods.

Walking/running paths at perimeter. Sledding hills. Amenities for non-golfers.

Please keep clubhouse on York. Do not move to Colorado.

Keep the course playable for the weekend golfer.

These predetermined rankings give no room for persons to voice all their concerns!

The clubhouse should be a multi-use facility for additional functions. A meeting/event place (with views) a real restaurant with a chef instead of cook. A multi-use destination rather than a single use building.

Let's make the stormwater feature a great design element - a little wetland, lake space that will be fun to come and view birds at, etc. for non-golfers.

The best design solution must not be sacrificed to protect trees. There are plenty of trees on the course, too many in fact, this is a great opportunity to clean up the whole property.

While minimizing the impact of the surrounding neighborhoods, it is equally important to preserve one of the crown jewels of Denver's wonderful golf courses! As a member of the City Park Players Club, my friends and I have always enjoyed the challenge of playing this course. Please keep that in mind with any re-design. If it becomes a course without challenge, you will destroy one of the historic and important pieces of Denver.

Hopes Comments

If the course will be redesigned, I hope it will be designed to improve play and golfer safety so it can continue its historic role as a golf center that teaches kids of all races and social economic groups and keeps adults from across the spectrum playing into their older years.

Areas for children to use computers and have access to a place to go after school under supervision

A sidewalk around the course - people use it now and it's not safe.

Attention to eastern perimeter conditions. Running course around golf course perimeter (natural)

Redo first 3 greens

Colorado will double its population by 2050 - we need sustainable, affordable, transit oriented communities - not more highway expansion - changes to the golf course are unpopular and unnecessary - this project is step one in a multi billion dollar boonboggel

I hope the citizens and voters see

The opportunity to create Beautifully designed facilities and enhanced views is the one thing for the WHOLE community. You don't need to be a golfer to use the clubhouse and a great restaurant for dining, events, and other purposes.

Only by leaving the course alone will it meet any of the topics you list.

This is false choice. All of the above must balance with the historically significant design of the course.

Again, how does this project protect our park's trees. Process for this project seems forced with minimal regard for community input.

An island green for 18th hole would be great

There are plenty of great courses in Denver. City Park does not need to be a flagship course. Flagship course to me means expensive and therefore exclusive, and that is the exact opposite of what City Park golf course should be. I would like to see the golf course clubhouse moved to take advantage of the better views though, so it is a better neighborhood amenity.

Opportunity to significantly improve pedestrian facilities through/around the course, which currently acts primarily as a barrier to people wishing to walk in the area.

I don't think you'd be doing any of this if not for the commercial development along the I-70 corridor. I really don't care if the golf course becomes more appealing to golfers. It's pretty darn nice the way it is.

I hope that the city engages the services of a premier course architect. Two premier architects who have designed or redesigned top-flight public courses in the Front Range include Tom Doak (CommonGround) and Jim Engh (Fossil Trace).

I think the golf course needs to go entirely.

If the grading could be made that could double as a sledding hill in the winter, that would also be great.

I don't understand the last question above, regarding neighborhood character. It should maintain the character of the original design intent of the historic golf course architect, modified to reflect contemporary playability.

Consensus for all stakeholders and reduced animosity

The most important thing to me is that a re-design of the course contributes to a fully integrated City Park, as opposed to how it kind of feels like the golf course is a separate space used primarily by a specific group of people, (golfers), rather than the whole community. Building some sort of path or paths to connect the course to the rest of the park is essential. I'd also love to see sidewalks around the perimeter.

These are your issues not mine. I hope the golf course meets its traditional function of being a place to play golf for everyone, even duffers and kids. I hope the project heals some of the rancor which the Department has introduced to the neighborhood. I hope you will reach out now and not with your issues already solved and asking for our approval of your plan.

Innovation: don't destroy City Park in order to expand a stupid, old fashioned freeway. Instead use that money to do something amazing - like bringing street cars back.

other high end golf options are available in the area. Revised course should be economical

I hope that the city finds a different solution other than tearing up the golf course.

The course is run down and mostly embarrassing. It's location is fantastic though, so a overhaul and redesign could really make it a quality course that draws visitors from all areas.

Additionally, since parks and other amenities are relatively non-existent, it would be nice to see this project incorporate some other aspect. Perhaps something similar to the walking/running trail that circles around City Park golf course (thought designed with purpose, not just an uneven dirt trail carved out by people over the years).

Obviously - who would say otherwise?!

How about a multi story driving range structure (like a Top Golf?)

Again, there is a practically new facility. While I, personally, don't like the design, it is in place. These questions are almost impossible to answer in the context of the existing facility being torn down.

i object to this project

I would hope it would mitigate floods for other than I70 but alas that won't be true

Don't tear up a street in Cole

The community is built around the park, golf course, and zoo. The project should enhance this resource, not degrade it. A pedestrian route through the golf course (heading south) to the zoo and park would be ideal as you currently have to head east or west and work your way around via busy streets.

Flood control upstream of City Park, SE Park Hill and Montclair neighborhoods. When someone's basement is needlessly flooded they won't have much interest in the aesthetics of a golf course.

It's gotta work to protect Cole neighborhood in the event of 100 year storms. If not, why do it?

Multi-use facility.

City Park IS a flagship course. Beautiful, challenging, fun.

This is a municipal course. Lets be realistic in what can be done with this course. There isn't the area to make this a championship course, so don't tell the lie that it will be redesigned to achieve this kind of design.

What is really needed is open dialogue. Most of your meetings are tightly controlled so as to avoid honest discussion and questioning.

The clubhouse should be a multi-use facility for additional functions. A meeting/event place (with views) a real restaurant with a chef instead of cook. A multi-use destination rather than a single use building.

The golf course right now is not very pretty compared to others in the metro area, I would hope that these improvements would make it something that our community can be proud of (golfers and non-golfers).

Are the proposed projects in an email package?
I'd like to see what is in the making

Part of the overall project should be to restore lost elements of the original design. The course has great bones, simply adjusting mowing lines and restoring a few key bunkers would be awesome. It must feel like a classic old school course worthy of the name "City Park".

Concerns Comments

Lots of misinformation on Facebook/Nextdoor

Would this cost be the same or would more money and funding be involved? And would the construction process still be on time.

Redesign of golf course is not needed.

This project will ruin a historic and important public recreational resource-Park Hill Golf Course

I believe the loudest voices are adjacent to the park, mostly on 26th avenue. Although I understand their concerns, I think some of them are unfounded. As a resident of the North City Park neighborhood of 1400 homes, I believe this small group of perhaps .1% of the neighborhood is giving the impression for the neighborhood to block clubhouse entry on 26th. I think 26th is the best choice not only for the community at large, but for the neighborhood on the north side. I do believe there should be an awareness of not having a parking lot replace the current view from 26th street properties. But a modern well-designed clubhouse should be able to address this sufficiently to not adversely affect the handful of homes on 26th.

We already know this project is a boondoggle and will be significantly delayed and overbudget. That much is a given. You can make it worth our while by ensuring minimal environmental damage and tree removal and landscape destruction at the golf course and park.

I have seen with the city has accomplished at 36 th and Grape, with its' great big hole for drainage there. We can only expect the city will create the same sorta hole. No reason for the public to go there, very few trees, it is dusty and an eyesore. No evidence of any real multi-use planning at all. Come on get creative with your solutions and is this really that big of a problem for those neighborhoods? Seems this area is a sacrifice zone for development of RINO and I70. This is very unfair and a poor plan, environmentally, community wise and politically when the public does not support the notion in any majority!

You are planning to take out far too many trees.

This project does not appear to be absolutely necessary. It would be poor planning to trade off an historically important golf course with a diverse heritage for a drainage ditch. It would be cheaper to buy up property elsewhere, create a park and use it for drainage.

That pedestrian upgrades that will serve the whole community will be omitted or overlooked.

Obviously - who would say otherwise?!

How about a multi story driving range structure (like a Top Golf?)

Other Redesign Trade Off Consideration Comments

Alternatives to this?

Purchase flood insurance for Cole and others in flood zone IF 100 year happens they are fully covered.*

An all around bad idea – detention and ditch do dispensed detention.

VA was design build

Tell the truth this is how state gives \$62M to protect I-70

Keep short range but change to hit off grass and get rid of the mats

Plant more trees along perimeter to protect houses that are across the street from course

NO nets is the driving range will be expanded

Clean out existing drains on 26th, 28th, etc. so current flow drains

Concerns of funding, budget, and timeline – what happens if you run over budget or don't raise funding from fees?

Please keep exterior trees and replant what you take out

Golf course is over 100 years now, never damaged by the flood of last 100 years, why now? **

Golf course isn't paying for project

Stop flooding of York St between 23rd and 26th

What is the type of construction? Fencing – where? How long will it be there? On perimeter?

This golf course has been here 113 years without change. This is only happening because I-70 is causing it to happen.*

Would be nice to have sidewalk around perimeter would increase safety (already used by runners/walkers)

Agree with statement above, but add trees at perimeter to protect pedestrians and neighbors (along 26th, especially)