CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION & INFRASTRUCTURE

Request for Qualifications
Design-Build Services for
16th Street Mall Renovation
eBid Document No. 6096661

Addendum #7
January 7, 2020

Attached Documents to this Addendum:

- Revised RFQ (red line and clean versions)

Questions and Answers:

Q1: Responses to questions included in Addendum 3 allowed use of spiral binding, but this was never added to the RFQ. Other information regarding the allowance of placing forms in the Appendix were also not memorialized in the reissued RFQ.

Regarding all the previously submitted and answered requests for clarification provided in Addenda 1 through 6, will the City issue a revised RFQ that incorporates all clarification responses previously provided to proposers by the City, or will the City confirm that information conveyed in the clarifications are considered official communications that are included in the RFP?

A1: The City makes every attempt to update the RFQ document when questions are answered, and revisions made to the RFQ document. By definition, an addendum is an addition or supplement that completes the original document. The City's opinion is an issued addendum becomes part of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) document, as if included in the original RFQ document. Please see the attached RFQ for updates which you reference in your question.

Q2: Please confirm that submitters that have already received the Project Specific Permission to Submit from CCD do not need to resubmit a new Project Specific Application.

A2: Correct. There is no need to resubmit a Project Specific Application if a firm has completed the process for the 16th St. Mall project and been approved. For specific questions regarding prequalification, please contact Jennifer Clark at: pw.prequal@denvergov.org.

A3: The RFQ requires 1-inch margins on the printed pages of the Statement of Qualifications. Is it acceptable that pagination and/or header and footer information lie within this 1-inch margin?

A3: Yes, this is acceptable to the City.

A4: To make the document more readable, is it acceptable to provide the time commitment for Key and added staff on their respective resumes, rather than the organization chart?

A4: Yes, this is acceptable to the City.
Q5: Q7 was answered in Addendum #2 stating that the Construction Quality Assurance Manager did not require a PE but that change has not yet been made in the RFQ. Please make the change.

A5: The City makes every attempt to update the RFQ document when questions are answered, and revisions made to the RFQ document. By definition, an addendum is an addition or supplement that completes the original document. The City’s opinion is an issued addendum becomes part of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) document, as if included in the original RFQ document. Please see the attached RFQ for updates which you reference in your question.

Q6: Is the City contemplating changing the procurement method from design build to CMGC or Progressive design build, due to the prescriptive nature of the project and the extreme amount of coordination and collaboration with the 100 plus stakeholders and 3rd party utilities?

Q6: No, the City is not contemplating changing the procurement method.

End of Addendum #7