CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE

RFQ – RUBY HILL-PHASE 3 DESIGN

ADDENDUM NO. 2
SEPTEMBER 29, 2020

Firms are hereby instructed that the RFQ documents are modified, corrected, supplemented and/or superseded for the above-mentioned project as hereinafter described:

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

Q1. Section 3-K of the RFQ, which states “Any individual or firm that assisted the City in any capacity in evaluating, preparing, reviewing, commenting, scoring, or otherwise providing input that pertains to the scope of services described in this RFQ prior to its issuance is considered precluded and ineligible from being part of any team submitting a response to this RFQ.”

Can you please confirm whether or not the design team that put together the conceptual package that was issued with the RFQ is eligible to submit a response to the RFQ?

A1: The team members that put together the conceptual package are not precluded from submitting a response to the RFQ.

Q2: Do resumes count toward the page limit of 25?
A2: Yes

Q3: Do you anticipate needing SUE services, and would the consultant or City provide this?
A3: Yes, a SUE level B survey will be required due to the work related to pool, buildings, drives, and other utilities in the area. The City assumes the consultant will provide this service.

Q4: Is the conceptual design team precluded from working on Phase 3 of the Ruby Hill project?
A4: The team members that put together the conceptual package are not precluded from submitting a response to the RFQ.

Q5: Does the Ruby Hill team have preferred bike course examples from across the country or the state that are good representations of what is desired for Ruby Hill? If yes, what courses are they?
A5: The Sydney Park Cycling Centre is a good representation of what Denver Parks and Recreation (DPR) would like to see in terms of the facility and outside riding infrastructure at Ruby Hill Phase III. This example was used in the Conceptual Site Design Package on page 14. DPR would also like to see a pump track near the facility. Good examples of pump tracks can be found at www.velosolutions.com.

Q6: What is the LEED level goal requirement for the project?
A6: Per Executive Order 123-B – Projects less than 5,000SF are expected to meet the intent of LEED BD+C Gold Certification (with a goal of achieving LEED-NC Gold) and adher to requirements.
listed in the Greenprint Denver Construction Project Guideline documents. It is assumed that this project’s building will be less than 5,000SF and therefore will need to meet the intent of LEED Gold.

Q7: Do we need to perform environmental testing in the scope of work?
A7: Yes, see Task 3 – Testing in the RFQ.

Q8: Will Task 3 – Testing be simultaneous with or following after Task 2?
A8: These tasks can be concurrent.

Q9: Is a traffic study needed?
A9: No.

Q10: Will an environmental consultant be needed or is there enough information existing?
A10: It is assumed that an environmental consultant will be needed.

Q11: Would a sub-consultant on this project be precluded from bidding on future construction of the same project or parts thereof?
A11: No decisions have been made to preclude sub-consultants participating in this RFQ from participating on a construction team.

Q12: Does the City have a specific approach for public art: stand-alone commissioned pieces to be installed on site vs. integrated art (i.e., artist-designed benches)?
A12: There is not currently a specific approach for public art. The City and the selected Consultant can meet with the Public Art Program early on to discuss different art opportunities. Both stand-alone and integrated art have been installed in the past.

Q13: Is there a preferred alternative method for public engagement and outreach activities due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic?
A13: There is not a preferred alternative method for public engagement and outreach at this time. The selected Consultant must still follow the PECAN policy. Any in-person meetings or events must follow the latest State of Colorado and County guidelines.