Meeting Date: 6/20/2017
Time: 5:30-7:30 p.m.
Location: Garden Place Elementary Library, 4425 Lincoln St., Denver
Subject: Meeting #5 Notes

Steering Committee Meeting Attendees:
- Community Members: John Zapien, A.E., Armando Payan, Katie McKenna, Vernon Hill, Maria Duran (absent), Yessika Gonzales (absent), Rosa Gaytan (absent), Ray Ruppert, Maria Campos (absent), Nola Miguel (absent)
- Greenway Foundation: Rachel Steel
- Audubon Society of Greater Denver: Polly Reetz (absent)
- The Nature Conservancy: Suzanne White, Chris Hawkins and Erina Keefe
- Adams County: Shannon McDowell (absent)
- National Western Center: Liz Adams (CRL Associates) (absent)
- CSU: Jocelyn Hittle
- Mickey Zeppelin (absent)
- City of Denver: Denver Parks and Recreation (Cincere Eades – Project Manager), Sarah Anderson (Public Works - Water Quality)
- North Denver Cornerstone Collaborative (Sloane Nystrom, Michael Sapp, Celia Vanderloop (absent)
- Consultant Team: Dig Studio (Gretchen Wilson, Laurel Raines, Ryan Sotirakis); Zoeller Consulting (Lisa Zoeller, Nora Neureiter)

Meeting started late at around 5:40. Please arrive at 5:30 at future meetings so that we can cover the planned information and end the meeting at an earlier time.

1. Welcome by Cincere and overview of meeting agenda
2. Three Draft Alternatives Presentation – Gretchen Wilson presented the information we gathered from the previous meeting as well as the 3 themes of the draft alternatives and explained how to read each plan.
3. Cincere invited members to comment.
4. Comments/Questions:
   a. Vern: we need to remember the perimeter of the park will develop over time. We need to think about 360-degree access as we move ahead.
   b. John: some areas might not ever have accessible entrances.
   c. Vern: We need to remember how the National Guard uses the park. They might need to use the field for training, etc.
   d. John: National Guard has minimal use of the park since wartime.
e. Cincere: she has a contact now at National Guard who we can ask for feedback. She also has permits that have been pulled for use of the park so we can see what uses and what areas are being used.

5. Cincere then invited the members to look at each board and ask questions of the team members at each board and provide feedback and vote for their preferences.

6. After 30-45 minutes, we reconvened and talked about the process for feedback and if members thought it would be a good format for the July 19 public meeting.
   a. AE suggested one or two enlarged legends to better explain the graphic symbols well as the potential for moveable program circles.
   b. Armando liked the setup. Attendees talk with presenters and with one another.
   c. Suzanne liked the process this way with something to react to and thought it could get complicated if we open it up too much.
   d. Jocelyn liked the themes associated with each alternative. Provides a useful starting point.
   e. Cincere mentioned that at the public meeting the boards will show proximity to the Nat’l Western Center to better visualize connections.

7. Cincere asked for overall comments on the designs before we discussed feedback from individual boards.
   a. AE felt that something was lost from the last meeting was the 360-degree access. She is concerned that we are dividing the park into north and south. She also does not like the idea of a front door to the park. She encouraged thinking about the park holistically and in a non-traditional manner.
   b. Several attendees raised the issue of parking for activities or events that might draw a crowd. Several ideas were raised such as expanding the northern boundary, parking near the Armory and Jocelyn also pointed out that the stock yards could be used at times.

8. Cincere asked all about the date for the development meeting and if it could happen after the July 19th Public Meeting. All agreed that this was fine. There were several questions about the development parcels that came up that will be further explored at that meeting.

End of Meeting

Image Attachments: Photos of boards and comments/sticker votes.
Steering Committee Meeting Notes

1. Outdoor Ecology & finance
   - More open active play area (keep or increase)
   - Turn them around to 50% for schools/kids
   - Enhance fencing along edges and add more trees
   - More bridge from location to traffic isn’t going thru the neighborhood from natural
drainage
   - Bigger water quality area (20 acres)
   - Like access at 8 53rd Street
   - More vegetation around water areas
   - More exploration near the river
   - Add gathering places at entrances off of 53rd and 54th
   - Trees from 1st Street water for irrigation
   - Like the vertical element at NW corner for interest/motivation
   - Connection to Franklin better ped access
   - Having a tour to view birds/nests
   - Trails for horses
   - Bikes
   - Putt Putt Golf
AH #2: Ecology + Innovation

* Connectivity to the water
  - Program space to maintain visual sight lines to water/natural edge
* Gather places at the edges to draw people in
* Add destination to NE corner to draw people to the edge
* Entries need to be where activation is needed
* How can we activate the NW corner while still being “Passive Recreation”?
* Add attraction to the pond — water display to bring people to the park
* Create space at the pond to teach fishing as educational opportunity
* Bringing the future + the current = Vision, foresight + innovation

Which =
* Sustainability
  - &
  - Responsible growth
  - New development
HERON POND/HELLER/NORTHSIDE PARK
MASTER PLAN/PLAN MAESTRO
RE-ENVISIÔNING 80 ACRES OF RIVER FRONT OPEN SPACE IN GLOBEVILLE
REVISION DE 80 HECTÁREAS DE ESPACIO ABIERTO FRENTE AL RÍO EN GLOBEVILLE

KEY PLAN ELEMENTS
1. Prominent community plaza and park space engages visitors and invites them to explore natural area further
2. Technology destinations provide a series of learning opportunities
3. The park itself is sustained, powered and renewed by new technologies
HERON POND/HELLER/NORTHSIDE PARK
MASTER PLAN/PLAN MAESTRO
RE-ENVISIONING 80 ACRES OF RIVER FRONT OPEN SPACE IN GLOBEVILLE
REVISION DE 80 HECTÁREAS DE ESPACIO ABIERTO FRENTE AL RÍO EN GLOBEVILLE

KEY PLAN ELEMENTS
1. Community plaza space is front door to the park
2. Integrated art enhances the learning, gathering and exploring spaces
3. Art and culture connect the community to nature

THEME 3: ECOLOGY, ARTS & CULTURE
TEMA 3: ECOLOGÍA, ARTES Y CULTURA
I like the gathering space at SW corner bc. it invites people in around the dev. parcels.

B) ART - CREATING OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE TO CONNECT TO NATURE.

C) MARKET - BETTER NATURAL FOOD. NO GMO

D. WATER QUALITY - IS NECESSARY!

E. GATHERING PLACE - LOCATION AT THE PARK CORNER INCREASES VISIBILITY OF PARK.

F. LOOP TRAIL AROUND (PERIMETER) → DRAW PEOPLE TO RIVER & EXPLORATION LEARNING.
   • MAXIMIZE RIVER
   • ACTIVE LIVING / EXERCISE
G. Nature Play - Programmed Play
H. 51st Bridge - Artistic Lighting
I. Explain Future Development Parcels?
J. Wildlife Overlook - View of Mountains
   • Visual Connect Urban & Rural
K. More Trees & Vegetation
   • Arboretum/Green House
   • Educational Tool for Health
L. Parking Options Off 53rd Ave
   • How Will This Plan Address Future Parking?
M. Art - Transition Graffiti into Community Art
   • Educational