# Transportation and Mobility Committee Meeting

**Date:** Thursday, March 30, 2017  
**Time:** 4:00 to 5:45 p.m.  
**Location:** 201 W. Colfax Avenue, Room 1B.6  

## Attendees

### Committee Members:
1. Don Hunt  
2. Stewart Tucker Lundy  
3. Stuart Anderson  
4. John Desmond  
5. Shannon Gifford  
6. Steve Kaplan  
7. Jill Lacontore  
8. K.C. Matthews  
9. Katie McKenna  
10. Joel Noble  
11. Rosemary Stoffel  
12. Piep van Heuven  
13. Marshall Vanderburg  
14. Jeff Walker

### City Staff:
1. Emily Snyder  
2. Cindy Patton  
3. Steve Nalley

### Members of the Public (signed up to speak):
1. Bethany Gravel  
2. Jose Esparza  
3. Lisa Shisko  
4. Jean Tubb  
5. Debbie Stoner

## Meeting Notes

### Welcome and Public Comment
- Committee members introduced themselves and reviewed what was discussed last week.
- Five members of the public spoke about the following projects: walkability improvements along Santa Fe Drive, Morrison Road implementation plan to make the Westwood neighborhood more walkable, support for...
the 1st Avenue complete street project in Cherry Creek, and intersection safety improvements and bike lanes along Buchtel Boulevard.

**Discussion of Additional Project Criteria**

- Committee staff shared the survey responses from committee members on the additional project criteria that had been developed last week. The additional criteria that scored highest was “advances the completion of the network.” The two additional criteria tied for second were “furthering city goals” and “addressing negative patterns found in data.” The additional criteria that scored the lowest was “improves resiliency.”

- The committee discussed what additional criteria could be further consolidated data. The committee agreed that developing a weighted score sheet may not be the best approach and that project packages should be developed to take into account a variety of categories and needs (i.e., safety, completing the network, etc.). The group expressed concern that a mathematical scoring formula could lead to some unintentional consequences.

- For the Better Denver Bond stakeholder committee, group consensus was used to select projects rather than a weighted scoring sheet. Projects were grouped into packages with each package addressing a variety of needs.

- The group sought guidance on how they would be asked to present their recommended projects to the executive committee and determined that they would revisit the criteria after city agencies had presented on projects next week.

**Distribution of Project Binders**

- Committee staff passed out project binder summarizing nearly 100 proposed transportation and mobility projects and reviewed the contents. City staff did their best to fill in as much information as possible, but a few of the projects submitted by the public are missing cost information.

- Committee members asked if the information in the binders was public and if the binders could be distributed electronically. City staff confirmed that the information in the binder is public and committed that they would circulate a PDF version of the binders to committee members.

**Wrap-up**

- At the request of committee members, city staff will follow up on how the meetings are being publicized and make sure that meeting times and locations are sent to registered neighborhood organizations.

- Next week, city agencies will present on projects. The committee acknowledged that next week’s meeting might run long and committed to staying as long as necessary to complete city agencies’ presentations.