IBC/IEBC Committee Hearing Minutes #2
February 15, 2022
2 p.m. – 5 p.m.
City and County of Denver

1. Roll Call

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Rondinelli</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Reese</td>
<td>Cannot attend 2nd code hearing ---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aaron Tweedie</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Thorpe</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yvette Roman</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Thomas</td>
<td>ONE VOTE Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William (Bill) Clayton</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Parr</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Brown</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Schultz</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Renn</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Moore</td>
<td>One vote Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Pruett</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Caro</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Pasillas</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Carnicelli</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Peetz</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **13 voting members present**

2. Discussion and voting on IBC/DBC-IBC Chapter 7

a. **#5**: DBC-IBC 713.13.1

- **Support**
  - N/A
- **Opposition**
  - The normal intent for a trash room is for them to be enclosed. Are we trying to minimize discharge?
- **Committee Questions/Comments**
  - Is your intent to not change 713.13.4?
    - Not intending to change 713.13.4.
  - Would this meet the requirements in section 716?
    - It would not meet the requirements.
If I have a rated shaft and a rated refuge room at the bottom of the shaft, I will protect the dumpster. Is there a requirement to fill in the area between the shaft and the discharge room?
  • Yes, shaft enclosure shall be filled in with approved material

Support (Rebuttal)
  • N/A

Opposition (Rebuttal)
  • It sounds like if you don’t want to follow the section requirements then you can just put some mineral wool around the edges. Seems you are trying to allow for a non-enclosed room.

Discussion/Motion:
  • Get rid of “this section and” in the language
  • If we don’t approve this change, how is the Denver fire dept going to enforce this code?
  • In opposition because don’t believe fire termination was the original intent of the code.
  • Why do we have a 2-hour shaft?
    • Due to the high hazards associated.

Vote: Motion to reject proposal.
  • Motion passes (7 for, 5 against, 1 abstain)

b. #85: DBC-IBC 720.3.2

Support
  • N/A

Opposition
  • N/A

Committee Questions/Comments
  • Modification to consider (removing the second “with” to having.) – “with mesh size…”
  • Does this apply to all types of insulation, including spray foam?
    • Intended for insulation that could become dislodged. Not intended for spray.
  • Would you consider relocating this under the general section of 720.1.1 to not be concealed or exposed insulation?
    • Agree, sounds logical.
  • Is there willingness to modify the code to specify the type of insulation?
    • It would be good language to correct.
  • Is it your intent to have insulation placed in a floor cavity be fixed?
    • It is not.

Support (Rebuttal)
• N/A
• **Opposition (Rebuttal)**
  • N/A
• **Discussion/Motion:**
  • Motion to approve as modified with the intent to modify the exemption of insulations that don’t apply.
• **Vote:**
  • Motion passes (12 for, 1 against)

**Committee to make modifications:** Aaron Tweedie, Robert Pruett, Tony Caro, Shaunna Mozingo

3. Discussion and voting on IBC/DBC-IBC **Chapter 8**
   a. **#79a:** DBC-IBC 804.5
      • **Support**
        • N/A
      • **Opposition**
        • N/A
      • **Committee Questions/Comments**
        • Is the list after “including” meant to be an all-inclusive list? For example, ceramic/porcelain tile?
          • Ceramic/porcelain tile would be included if they were applied with an adhesive with VOC’s. Open to modifying language.
        • Where is the stricken language and where will it be added?
          • IBC 804.1 General, in the exemption. Taken from Denver Green Code.
        • Applicable only to new construction types.
        • How many products are not going to be allowed?
          • Market has responded. Very easy to find on manufacturer’s websites.
        • Denver Green Code is a voluntary code.
      • **Support (Rebuttal)**
        • N/A
      • **Opposition (Rebuttal)**
        • N/A
      • **Discussion/Motion:**
        • Motion to reject the proposal
      • **Vote:**
        • Motion passes (11 for, 0 opposed, 2 abstain)

4. Discussion and voting on IBC/DBC-IBC **Chapter 10**
a.  **#13: IBC 1010.2.5**
   - **Motion** to listen to proposal #10 in conjunction with 13, 14, 12
   - **Support**
     - N/A
   - **Opposition**
     - N/A
   - **Committee Questions/Comments**
     - Are there instances where we need to distinguish between installed, altered, and repaired?
       - Where there are already bolts, they cannot be altered or repaired.
   - **Support (Rebuttal)**
     - N/A
   - **Opposition (Rebuttal)**
     - N/A
   - **Discussion/Motion:**
     - Motion to modify #13 language: “Manually or electrically operated” and remove electric bolt definition.
       - **Vote:** Motion passes unanimously
     - Motion to vote on proposals separately.
       - **Vote:** Motion passes unanimously
     - Motion to approve as amended (#13)
       - **Vote:** Motion passes (11 for, 1 against)

b.  **#14: IBC 1010.2.7-A**
   - **Support**
     - N/A
   - **Opposition**
     - N/A
   - **Committee Questions/Comments**
     - Was the intent for the doors to unlock from both the fire command center and the master switch?
       - If the fire command center is present, that would be the signal. Else the master switch would be the signal.
     - **Support (Rebuttal)**
       - N/A
     - **Opposition (Rebuttal)**
       - N/A
• **Motion:**
  - Motion to approve modifications

• **Vote:**
  - Motion passes (10 for, 1 against)

• **Motion:**
  - Motion to approve as modified

• **Vote:**
  - Motion passes (10 for, 0 against, 1 abstention)

c. **#12: IBC 1010.2.12**

• **Support**
  - N/A

• **Opposition**
  - N/A

• **Committee Questions/Comments**
  - N/A

• **Support (Rebuttal)**
  - N/A

• **Opposition (Rebuttal)**
  - N/A

• **Motion:**
  - Motion to approve as modified (“are not permitted”) with the intent to add item 7 to 1010.2.11.

• **Vote:**
  - Motion passes (12 for, 0 against, 0 abstention)

d. **#10: IBC DBCA 202**

• **Support**
  - N/A

• **Opposition**
  - N/A

• **Committee Questions/Comments**
  - N/A

• **Support (Rebuttal)**
  - N/A

• **Opposition (Rebuttal)**
  - N/A

• **Motion:**
  - To table amend with the modifications.

• **Vote:**
  - Motion – (11 for, 0 against)

• **Motion:**
  - Motion to approve as modified
• **Vote:**
  • Motion passes (10 for, 1 against)

**Meeting adjourned 5:17pm MT**

e. **#11**: IBC DBCA 403.5.3  
f. **#24**: IBC 1010.2.7-B  
g. **#87**: IBC 1006.3  
h. **#15**: IBC 1008.3.4.1 Egress Illumination  
i. **#2**: IBC 1503.2.2  
j. **#16**: IBC 1511.2.4  
k. **#P4.2**: IBC 1602.1 (Wind loads)  
l. **#P4.1**: IBC 1609.1.1 (Wind loads)  
m. **#P17.2**: IBC 1607.14.4.2 Photovoltaic panels