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ARPA Round 1 Update
## ARPA Round 1 Status Update
*As of Jan. 25, 2022*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Category</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Commitments, Obligations &amp; Expenditures</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restoration Programming + Supplemental Services*</td>
<td>$67,306,420</td>
<td>$28,892,938</td>
<td>$38,448,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium Pay - 2021</td>
<td>$8,800,000</td>
<td>$8,229,500</td>
<td>$570,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Programs</td>
<td>$73,525,000</td>
<td>$501,675</td>
<td>$73,023,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>$1,300,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$150,931,420</strong></td>
<td><strong>$38,924,113</strong></td>
<td><strong>$112,007,307</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes 2021 & 2022 amounts; Reconciliation underway.*
Updated Guidance
US Treasury: Final ARPA Rule

• Solidifies the guidance for using ARPA monies
• Effective April 1, but jurisdictions can take advantage of the provisions beforehand

Key Changes from the Interim Final Rule:

• Clarifies eligible uses for capital expenditures and updates the reporting expectations for them (i.e. written justification for each $1M+ project)
  – Ineligible uses: construction of NEW correctional facilities, NEW congregate facilities, & NEW convention centers, stadiums and facilities for general economic development
• Grants greater flexibility to jurisdictions to determine impacted industries
• Presumes certain populations were “impacted” and “disproportionately impacted” by the pandemic and therefore are eligible to receive a broad range of services and support
• Allows Recovery Funds to be used for modernization of cybersecurity, including hardware and software
• Broadens eligible uses for water, sewer and broadband projects
2022 ARPA Planning: Community Outreach
2021 Round 1 Outreach

- **Input online and over-the-phone**
- **Website** with surveying, educational materials, and townhall live-streaming
- Four **telephone townhalls** with 30k dial-outs and text messages per call to 20 zip codes in historically marginalized neighborhoods. Townhalls simulcast in English, Spanish, Vietnamese, and Amharic
Round 1 Outreach Overview

- **6,200** participants online and over the phone
- **9,800** surveys and comments submitted
- **43%** identified as Black, Indigenous, People of Color
- **160** callers on Spanish, Vietnamese, or Amharic lines
- **37%** identified as low-income residents
Highest participation rates in “Inverted L”

- Westwood, Barnum, Harvey Park zip codes (607 participants)
- East Colfax zip codes (526 participants)
- North Park Hill, Northeast Park Hill zip codes (517 participants)
- Montbello zip codes (381 participants)
Key Topic Areas

- Housing
- Mental health
- Job training
- Food security
- Small Business support
- People Experiencing Homelessness
- Safety
- Childcare
- Digital access
- Workforce and wages
- Accessibility and Transportation
- Community spaces
ARPA Round One Success and Challenges:

Successes:

• Spotlight speaker for presentation at Georgetown University’s Center for Social Impact and Innovation
• Featured city in article on inclusive budgeting in American Planning Association Magazine
• Featured in study by Federalism US, intergovernmental thinktank
• Knowledge-sharing with City of Seattle on Denver’s process

Challenges:

• Round 1 combined multiple topics (ARPA and GO Bonds) together, causing some confusion around funding sources.
• Round 1 asked for feedback on high-level priorities, and some residents didn’t realize how their input on priorities led to actual proposals and funding decisions
Considerations and Questions for Round 2:

• Meeting logistics? Benefits of a virtual process vs an in-person process?
• Should we host focus groups?
  – If so, how many focus groups and on what topics?
• How can we activate with community leaders/organizations?
• How to balance resident capacity with a robust and meaningful approach?
ARPA Round Two Outreach Goals and Logistics:

Implement an outreach process that more explicitly ties public input to ARPA funding to ensure residents understand how their input contributes to funding decisions.

**Proposed Goals:**

**Equity Focused Community Engagement**
- Authentically engage historically underserved areas and communities hit hardest by the pandemic (at least 6,500 participants at least 43% BIPOC)

**Federal Funding and Municipal Budgeting Education**
- Provide background and education on federal funding sources and City budgeting processes.

**Transparent and Accessible Decision-Making**
- Increase accessibility for City budgeting processes, decision-making, and project/program development.

**Key logistics:**

**Timing**
- Balance a fast roll-out with an informed and thoughtful outreach process and

**Public Health Environment**
- Balance virtual and in-person interactions to achieve authentic and meaningful engagement while prioritizing public health and safety.

**Information from Round 1**
- Ensure a data-informed approach by building off ARPA Round 1 investments.
Balancing Types of Public Engagement

Types of Public Participation

- **Informational**
  - One-way communications: Website content, e-blasts, press releases

- **Consultation**
  - Surveying, Polls, forums, townhalls

- **Decision Making**
  - Public boards/commissions, etc.

- **Partnership**
  - Government-public co-creation
Pros and Cons of Participation Types

Often, passive forms of participation see greater numbers of engagement while more active collaborations engage fewer people, but more significantly.

Breadth (Number of People Engaged)

Depth (Level of Resident-Government Collaboration)

- Informational
- Consultation
- Partnership
- Decision-Making

ARPA Round 1: General Public
ARPA Round 1: SIAC
ARPA Round 2 Approach

Utilize SIAC, community leaders, advocates, and key organizations to help bring greater numbers of the general public into collaborative discussions on ARPA funding decisions.

Start with general feedback received through round one consultation.

Host focus groups with [community leaders? Advocates? Organizations? The public?] based on key topic areas.

Based on focus group recommendations, agencies develop round 2 proposals.

Take final proposal ideas back to [community leaders? Advocates? Organizations? The public?] for broad input and prioritization.

Breadth (Number of People Engaged)

Depth (Level of Resident-Government Collaboration)
Round 1 Key Topic Areas

- Housing
- Mental health
- Job training
- Food security
- Small Business support
- People Experiencing Homelessness
- Safety
- Childcare
- Digital access
- Workforce and wages
- Accessibility and Transportation
- Community spaces
Admin Request

Data Evaluation Resources
# Data Evaluation and Analysis Requests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Request</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Sample Data Obtained</th>
<th>Projected Amount (through 2027)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Collection Software</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Simplify required data collection; ability for external partners to directly report data and metrics</td>
<td>$31,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Impact Analysis Modeling Software</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Generates realistic year-by-year estimates of the total local, state, and national effects of a specific policy initiative</td>
<td>$140,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Foot Traffic Data</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Provides insight to unique foot traffic patterns (can customize to Downtown Central Business District, or expand out to entire city, look at neighborhoods, etc.)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly Credit/Debit Card Spend by Type of Business</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sales, transactions, average transaction size by online/in-store purchase</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost Estimate:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$322,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions/Discussion

Next Meeting February 10, 2022