

West Area Plan

West Plan Small Working Group

Meeting# #1



Date and Time: June 8, 2022 at 4 pm
Location: Microsoft Teams

Attendees

WORKING GROUP MEMBERS (PRESENT)

Chala Mohr	Jonathan Pitocco
Kathy Sandoval	Sean Brady
Elizabeth Walsh	Evon Lopez
Heidi Newheart	Jeanne Granville

ELECTED OFFICIALS/COUNCIL DISTRICT AIDES

Katie Heideman, Council Aide, District 3
Councilwoman Torres, District 3

COMMUNITY PLANNING PROJECT TEAM

Courtland Hyser	Chelsea Bennouna
Valerie Herrera	

GUESTS

Meeting Summary

Val:

Provides context for this meeting and agenda

Gives presentation which includes:

- Purpose of working group to do a deep dive and improve the plan together, 8 weeks
- Shared agreements presented by Councilwoman Torres
- Discussion: review of themes

Kathy Sandoval:

- Can you detail out what a full public release entail? Which I'm hoping will be detailed in public draft engagement strategy.
- She is happy to wait to discuss and see the public engagement strategy

Elizabeth:

- Our intention in asking for a halt is to enhance the public process and make sure it has integrity.
- Let's make sure we save time to discuss this, because this is where part of the confusion has been as well

Val:

Presents summary of potential improvements

1. Plan organization
2. Prioritization of recommendations
3. Implementation
4. Land Use and Built Form
5. Mobility
6. Economy and Housing
7. Quality of Life
8. Neighborhood Sections
9. Definitions/Clarity of terms

Elizabeth:

The original implementation section that was included said that the intention of the section was to prioritize recommendations, but it was unclear what the general process was to prioritize with the community, so that would be great to address as we discuss what our role is, the general steering committee and the general public process.

Val:

Puts up the slide on Plan Org Comments and Feedback for people to reflect on these points and discuss

Kathy:

A big part of the plan org is to really bring up the QoL very early in this discussion and not where it is located in your roster so we can really dive deep bc that's the biggest area we want to discuss

Val:

We can move QoL up to number 1

Heidi:

Yes, I want to emphasize that, bc we want the QoL section to frame the entire plan and be woven through, and we can't talk about anything else until we know how that is going to happen, and that will inform the whole plan.

Elizabeth:

For the sections, we can use the same order that we were recommending for the plan. You said it's not a sequential list we are moving through, but what we are all speaking to is QoL being number one priority.

Evon:

I don't see where the industrial area is mentioned, performance zoning, time curfews, more speed bumps to avoid hitting a child when they speed- the semi-trucks run through Valverde. And how do we create industrial jobs for the community.

Val:

You will see those comments captured in the neighborhood and mobility section

Courtland:

I hear consensus, but we first want to understand overall what we need to talk about for the next 8 weeks, then we deep dive first into QOL

Kathy:

There needs to be more congruency with neighborhood areas and recommendations to make that plan make a bit more sense

Val:

- Asks for feedback on slide 1, no real feedback
- Slide 2: Prioritization of recommendations, we want to try to prioritize those at the beginning of the chapters and I think this group would be helpful to us in prioritizing those recs.

Elizabeth:

- Some of our comments were related to our review of the implementation section, and part of that is that it was a black box of how did those 20 get lifted up?
- The neighborhood ones were not nested, so it was confusing to see how they were related to each other.
- I wouldn't want to focus on prioritization ourselves, I would rather help think through the public process for prioritizing recommendations.

Val:

- I also feel the matrix would be very important that has the rec called out, the guiding principles it is meeting that we created together, the short-mid-or long term, and the actors responsible
- We will definitely weave this into our second draft

Courtland:

We need to actually produce the draft then it will be easier to discuss

Kathy:

Well, also, you have these different metrics embedded throughout the plan, but you don't tie them to many recommendations, so it seems half baked and difficult to figure out how to use them

Evon:

- Growing up in Valverde over 60 years, I really feel like we are losing our story, our people, the people that came and paved the way, especially people of color, and now we have an opportunity to tell the story. I am not Hispanic, I am a Latina, I fought the City to change the way the City measured demographics, and now they can choose Hispanic/latinx.
- So this is unprecedented, this is a chance to change the narrative – I have been waiting for a very very long time to see this equity come in to play.

Val:

- We thank you for speaking on behalf of your neighborhood, we can help to write the plan, but we need to hear from you.
- Presents slide 3 on implementation – is there anything to add to these bullets here?

Elizabeth Walsh from chat:

I look forward to learning how CPD will approach the implementation section

Evon:

Denver is working on so many plans, NEST neighborhoods, Denver Moves, forestry/green canopies, etc. Now coming down the pike is come as you throw. . . how are all of these moving parts coming together in this plan? How are you considering all of this together?

Councilwoman Torres:

- This is an important system question about how the CCD operates.
- The plan operates as a big picture guidance point about what the community wants in different categories, but there will be different ways this plan is used and approached. Some implementation comes from existing ordinances, some comes from new policies, it is not as clear or clean as saying here is the goal and here is who will do it – it will be chipped away at by multiple departments, but having the goal will activate Council, Mayor, all other agencies to try to work to achieve what the community wants. It may not be possible to say in this plan who will deliver what and by what year, but it is the vision that we are tying back accountability to.
- We are tying back actions to things we can accomplish in that plan, but the full path may not be known right now.
- This is your tool to hold future leadership accountable – like, we will get a new mayor next year, and they may not know about West Denver, so we can ask them how they plan to accomplish different things we state in the plan. Having the vision is a starting point, and then we will have to chip away at the goals in different ways.

Kathy:

How do we even have information about some of the inequities we see in these neighborhoods – like the brownfield project in Federal Blvd. We do not even know where there is hazardous waste, we need to know the baseline of what the information first is an important ingredient to even know what kind of recommendations we can lift up, and that is a key ingredient I would like to see elevated.

Elizabeth:

- Thinking about the ten-page PDF we shared – we used a format of looking at long term what we want and need to make us whole, and soft solutions, and there is a soft bubble that can move depending on politics, funding opportunities, etc.
- However, there are things we know that are politically realistic already, like federal funding for brownfields, etc.
- Our implementation section should acknowledge that new opportunities will emerge, but these are funding sources or aligned people with this work already would be helpful
- What I love is that almost all pictures in this plan are showing strengths of our community
- An asset and strength based approach to the plan can lift up where things are already moving - QoL did a great job of mentioning parks, etc, Lifting it up around implementation seems very helpful.

Heidi:

Just to emphasize that implementation with the systems thinking and QoL is that it feels like the development side, everyone goes to the implementation section and developers go there to make plans on what to pursue – so if we add in QoL things like tree canopies, align everything then developers can be on the same page as us and deliver what we actually want.

Councilwoman:

One of the things the Far Northeast Plan which would be a great addition to our plan is a matrix of every recommendation, even if it is just the primary city agency who could lead it.

[4:37 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

More options available for funding opportunities. In addition to anything the City has in the hopper. Such as the Brownfield project on Federal Blvd.

[4:37 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

My sense from your past comments in response to our letter that you'd wanted to use the public commentary process to get feedback that you'd incorporate into the implementation section, and that that's why you didn't create a draft of it.

[4:39 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Appreciate the background Councilwoman Torres, but the community also needs to know information that the City knows in order to look at recommendations.

[4:39 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

This is so great, Councilwoman Torres - also a great articulation of how our QoL goals will show up in multiple different sections.

[4:41 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

Yet if we know likely parties who can be responsible, shouldn't we name that to support people in their organizing efforts? To be able to name that CASR, PARD, and site planning review would all be part of implementation for tree cover?

[4:45 PM] Herrera, Valerie M. - CPD CE0429 City Planner Senior

@Elizabeth Walsh The photos will be labeled for the 2nd draft. We have more to add as well from recent events like Sun Valley Rising and Joseph Martinez park cleanup.

[4:48 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

The more that we can get the issues on the table the more we know of how to improve the neighborhoods with the implementation plan.

Katie Heidemann:

How should we think about things like the STAR program? Will it still be relevant?

Courtland:

- It depends, as the plan gets older, some of the more specific recommendations can become out of date and less useful, which is why it is helpful to leave the door open in what you are recommending for other ideas to step in – you can point to the STAR program, but the plan should make clear there is not just 1 way to accomplish this goal
- The value of this plan is really in setting the vision and there are multiple ways to get there

Heidi:

- It sounds like it is the kind of thing that can be named as a resource, but make clear there are other ways to accomplish this goal – but we wouldn't want to leave it out, bc community members and developers may be able to partner with those programs in the present
- I know we mentioned the River Sisters
- Especially our position on the planet, makes planning around water in our city is so important, and connections to green spaces, this provides a great baseline for design and systems thinking. Water should have its own section or commentary.

Val:

Val confirms it is in QOL and also another section

Heidi:

Emphasizing the water requirements and regulations have to be woven throughout the plan

[4:55 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

Thanks, Heidi and Val - centering River Sisters as a **way** of planning in plan organization and in quality of life - both makes os much sense

[4:55 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Add Racial Equity to the QoL section

Elizabeth:

I like what Val and Heidi put forward and I think it is both, right now QoL is awesome that it includes River Sisters as a case study, but we need to also include history, redlining, and we should have the conversation about equity that frames this so we can figure out where we are in the clock of the world and not just stop as a acknowledgement. We are not trying to idealize any group of people, but learn from practices that could serve wellbeing in the future. We can use our capacity to not have extinction happen all over the place.

Kathy:

We need to highlight not only racial equity but also environmental justive, we have these heat areas and detentikon areas that are just running off and we have no green space – other cities are identifying how we can change that equation, so environmental justice needs to be integrated with the QoL section as well.

[4:58 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Environmental Justice as reflected in land use

[4:58 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

Yes! Learning from other cities about establishing Green Zones is great

[4:59 PM] Evon Lopez (Guest)

Great job, Val!

Group discussion about how Heidi cannot see the Chat, and how that is important for everyone to be able to see the chat

The group suggested switching to Zoom

Val:

- We will try to figure out how to switch to Zoom for the next meeting
- Val shows the Economy/Housing slide

[5:09 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Cities and counties typically create green zones through special overlay zones, where stricter land use and zoning rules are implemented and where targeted investments are emphasized for improved public health and economic development. Elements of green zones include stricter regulations or enforcement, local involvement in land-use decision making, support for greening local businesses, increased green spaces and green jobs and businesses. (Zoning Practices - March 2021)

Val:

Kathy can you speak to your comment in the chat a bit?

Kathy:

This is something I would like to see go into the plan, it is one of those threads that overlaps with QoL. I was hoping we were going to spend the rest of the meeting discussing QoL.

Val:

I hear you, but we want to make sure we have everything we want to capture moving forward. This is just an overview summary to ensure we have everything we need in terms of the list of items we need to touch base and cover during these meetings. Hopefully that's ok, and we can deep dive into QoL next time.

Evon:

Leaving my work with the city, recruitment was a mess, and I had a lot of ideas, and still recruitment was slow. How can we pull in more people, bc I know the food stamp program, long term care, a lot of the programs from Denver Human Services are being pushed out bc they cant keep up with demand. Is there a way to pull in more people from the City to do recruitment?

Courtland:

This sounds like something that could be another recommendation that could go into the plan, and we should add this to the list and explore it for more content. This is more of a policy discussion than adding it to the list of things we need to talk about . . . but we will add it to the list of things we need to talk about

Heidi:

- It is hard for me to comment on things that are out of context – what am I even looking at?
- It is difficult to comment on this without creating a container
- Are we here to comment on the comments? That was not my understanding, I am here for the deep dive, and for the purposes of our group, it is hard to discuss anything without knowing where it is coming from and where it is being guided

Val:

- I hear you, but we received 5 different document, and 140 comments from the steering committee. As a team, we did not want to miss anyone's voice, we did not want to miss any one's comment, so as a team, we have been working to put together a summary of what we have heard – we understand that QoL will be our priority and is top of the list.
- This meeting is to define what the issues are, what our next steps are, for this group and for us.

[5:13 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

- What I'm appreciating right now is that all of the comments that you've put together and synthesized here is consistent with the desire we've expressed to have quality of life priorities integrated into the different sections...

- For instance, in the 11-page pdf we sent, we named a vitality principle as "liberty" and defined it in a way that emphasizes support of cooperative ownership and governance options; these recs about life-supporting jobs/livelihoods is great.
- I am glad to know what the summary is of what others have said

Kathy:

- Again, looking at your themes I suspect that no we don't have everything on here because I know there will be more coming out of our discussion
- The other thing under housing, the current zoning and upzoning impacts displacement of residents and we need to acknowledge and talk about this more – what does more density and height mean for current residents? We need to spell that out more in the plan.

Val:

That bis noted, we will make sure that is bundled into the housing piece as we move forward

Elizabeth:

- I do appreciate being able to see these, and I am reading all of this through a QOL lens, and it has been helpful and reassuring that our other steering committee members are still aligned with different ways to bring QoL recommendations in
- As Kathy said, there are likely more, and the public process will reveal more, but it is helpful to see there is a consistency around this and there is more to be unpacking

Val:

We appreciate that, and all of this relates to each other, but as a team it is very helpful to look at these things together to help us better understand what we need to focus on to improve the plan

Jonathan:

- Can we talk about what we mean by low impact neighborhood serving retail and its relationship to corridors?
- Things like florists, corner stores, etc. those don't cause many impacts
- In our recommendations we talk about how to strengthen opportunities for these types of places in corridors, but in the opportunities map, there are not very many corridors. We should not have a 20-block radius where we aren't able to have any commercial or retail. We are not going as far as we should to clarify what low and high impact retail is definitionally so we can start saying that low impact retail belongs in residential neighborhood so we can make our neighborhood more walkable and equitable

Val:

This is a great point, and comparing the Mobility chapters with others, it is inconsistent, and we are taking a look at that and trying to figure out how to better clarify and address these points.

[5:22 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

The complete neighborhoods should tie to economy and housing. In addition to adding to the main street/mix use corridors such as Colfax, Federal, Alameda, Sheridan.

Kathy,

Yeah, I want to talk more about complete neighborhoods, and industrial areas, and how those tie to economy and housing

[5:23 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

Jonathan - thanks, that's such a good thing to name around designing for diversity of mutually beneficial uses - particularly clarity about low-impact retail spaces that don't generate hazards along corridors, but in the future places map has few corridors, which is weird - we shouldn't have a 20 block radius where there's no commercial if we want to create a walkable neighborhood with health and vitality. Performance zoning combined with form-based codes may a strategy to look at how we can have such diversity in a healthy way

[5:24 PM] Jonathan Pitocco

Indeed, performance zoning would probably be good for those areas - making sure there aren't measured negative externalities (noise, smell, etc)

Val puts up Mobility Slide

Kathy:

Also, with mobility, we need to have the conversation about the impact of the highways, and those are barriers, and those are missing pieces that should be included in the mobility section

Evon:

- There are lots of semi-trucks racing, breaking our streets, no accountability. They put weight way over the guidelines, so they don't have to make a second trip, but they don't realize the pollution and other impacts on us. We need to address the industrial areas bc they are getting bigger.
- We are boxed in by highways, we were the hardest hit by COVID bc people's exposure to pollution and lung problems
- Does this make sense?

Val:

Yes, it does, we have your comments in depth and we will continue to address that and we can rework this to add more specificity but we didn't want to overload the slides – we have your whole description, it is in there.

[5:28 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Include in Mobility the impact of HWY 6 and I-25. What happened to sidewalks? Creating mobility with trail/park development such as a park bridge between Villa Park and Barnum as part of pedestrian bridge by Irving ST.

Kathy:

I am wondering what happened to sidewalks? And how they connect to trails and park development?

Jonathan:

Can we explore a recommendation that we have to take “Denver’s newly completed complete streets guidelines” to take them from guidelines to requirements? If we want better interfacing between automobile and pedestrian realm, need them to be requirements. But I understand that might not be

appropriate to include in a plan like this that is focused on our vision for the neighborhood and less on City wide requirements

Courtland:

Street design guidelines and standards is DOTI's realm - neighborhood plan is an opportunity to articulate what we want and our vision for the future, which is not bound by the reality of what's possible today, but it can help promote change over time in the way it is a guiding document, big picture.

Jonathan:

I still think we should request that we want stricter policies around complete streets so we can point to this in conversations with city leadership

Heidi:

The mobility section seems more about what is currently happening and less about what we want. It feels like DOTI had a major influence on how this is presented. It does not do enough or say enough or express enough about what we are looking for.

Courtland:

That is the kind of thing we will have to figure out as we work through this section is how do we address that in the draft

Kathy:

Yes Cortland and the plan can point to overlay district (green zones, neighborhood conservation, design overlays) as a requirement.

Val moves us into the Land Use component

Jonathan:

- Some of this land use content is diametrically opposed to each other – we point to trees and park development near affordable housing in QoL, but then in land use we say we want to put affordable housing along arteries like Federal, and keep SFH next to parks and open spaces.
- I want to make sure we are not directing height and density only to our more polluted/busy corridors.
- Review the height map more closely and make sure we don't have conflicts between the land use and other sections.

Val:

Yes, absolutely, Heidi mentioned she wants us to overlay and compare maps to see how they speak to each other, is that what you're speaking to as well.

Jonathan:

Yes, and some recommendations read well on their own, but not when you take the plan together holistically.

Sean:

I am mostly here to listen but I really agree with what Jonathan just said.

These are complex issues, and there are tradeoffs we need to make. We need to discuss those tradeoffs. I am concerned and agree with Evon that we want green jobs. If we cannot get that, and we can only get dirty industries, but they pay people enough to live, will we accept that? We need to do the research and better understand how those tradeoffs can play out.

Chala:

- I agree with most things being said.
- Jonathan brought up an interesting point regarding the maps across sections, we need to overlay and match. Agreed that the height and green space maps, but doesn't really apply to Barnum and Barnum West because that could allow for building height along federal to have access to green spaces in our neighborhood, but I can see how that wouldn't work in another neighborhood, so we need more specificity in our neighborhood sections to highlight where things would or wouldn't work.
- At some point I would like more information how these tradeoff/guidelines/regulations would play out when we present this to the council and mayor

Elizabeth:

- I agree with what's being said about the height map and land use, they are frustrating and disconnected from the rest of the plan. The 11 page document teases out some of the complexity that if we can have integrated solutions, we may be able to effect some change.
- For example, increasing density alone won't necessarily solve affordability, and we will be able to have richer conversations, but it is only possible when we think about systems.
- We want to generate community capital through development, and I trust we can think through and avoid some of the automatic tradeoffs and get some win-win solutions for the community, like can we plan for housing and mobility investments together that creates vital streets with local businesses that aren't noxious – can we get around some of these harsh tradeoffs through integration?

Kathy:

- Yes, when you look at the maps that are in there, like Future Places, like the higher density that has been created along the park but hasn't created affordable homeownership, it has created displacement instead – this shows how density doesn't necessarily equate to having opportunities for generational wealth.
- When we look at Sun Valley, its just a neighborhood of density.
- What Elizabeth is saying I want to emphasize; we need to take a closer look at what that outcome is producing already

[5:46 PM] Jonathan Pitocco

- Totally agreed Elizabeth, hoping we can get into "policy vision" during our land use content meeting. Given that this is a 20yr plan, and the plan does currently include many desired policy examples, can we include policies that our neighborhood may desire, even if those policies aren't possible today? But might be in the future?
- Example in mind is anti-gouging and rent stabilization ordinances that can help with preventing involuntary displacement for renters (not just owners). It's not legal in CO today, but that might change in the next 20yrs, so it'd be cool if the plan mentioned a desire to implement neighborhood stabilizing policies like rent stabilization, right of first refusal, just cause eviction ordinances, etc.

Evon:

For land use, can we discuss grocery stores, community gardens, etc. here?

Val:

The complete food environment section is housed within QoL, and we have a number of recs we speak to from an area perspective, and we know this is not equitable across the area. We are trying to figure out—maybe this section needs more specificity – but how do we implement these different ways to bring more food access to the neighborhood without trying to rely on the grocery store since we know that has not been successful in the past. There are places, like Valverde that has these deserts, so maybe we need to beef that up more in the plan, but you can find the food systems content in QoL.

Chala:

- Looping back to the discussion about density not always equaling affordability . . .
- Is this a time to mention about how we can get developers to develop what we want them to, like through RFPs.
- I don't see a way to get around creating more housing without more development and creating more housing.
- As long as there is a lack of availability in either rentals or housing to purchase, we are only going to keep on that upward trajectory.
- How in this plan can we implement and get towards that policy vision so we have something to go to council and the mayor and get developers who want to build the way we want them to.

[5:51 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

Fyi neighbors and allies, if your afternoon has flexibility next week, I'm looking forward to attending this <https://www.eventbrite.com/e/planning-to-thrive-equity-and-integrated-strategies-for-colorados-future-tickets-321435059917>

Planning to Thrive: Equity and Integrated Strategies for Colorado's Future

June is here! There's a great line up of speakers, storytellers, & panelists for the 6/16 Symposium. We need your voice too! Register today!

[5:52 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

What about preservation naturally occurring affordable housing to support homeownership neighborhood stability? The use of Community Trust where the land may be owned by trust but the building owned by the homeowner.

[5:52 PM] Jonathan Pitocco

Not something that needs to be addressed with our limited time in the room, but I've been confused about the konveio draft that is published and people are commenting on now: the citizen comments don't seem to match the pages underneath at all. Like people mention "Urban Edge" zoning on a page that has a table of contents. Is there something out of sync on the site?

Elizabeth:

yeah, that's been super confusing

Elizabeth:

- I would love to know more about what CPD is thinking about this soft launch and coordination
- What are you planning to roll out and what your strategy is for incorporating feedback, or releasing a plan more publicly?

Val suggests we will skip the last two slides, 8/9, we can revisit this later, and now we can talk about the public draft engagement strategy

Courtland:

- Let's ask people to email comments on those sections, Val will email this presentation out after the meeting
- This slide on public draft engagement strategy outlines what we typically do:
- Scheduled presentations by plan topics at various days/hours
- Office hours with a planner
- Attending community meetings, RNOs, NPOs, Schools, Intentional Outreach Efforts, Return Visits
- Recorded Presentations
- Online and Printed Surveys
- Explained why Konveio is helpful
- We also have an email list that we have been building since the project started and we send out updates, and that typically generates much more traffic than the flyer has

[5:56 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

I guess the question is: are these strategies that are underway right now, or are these things that are prioritized after a second draft is released? And when is the target for that?

[5:57 PM] Sean Brady (Guest)

Co Op at 1st was mentioned earlier. This is an example of unintended consequences and the difficulty of not looking at the full system picture. I got an email recently from them; the Green Roof requirement sounded good, green roofs help the environment. But, the cost is high; Co Op said because of the green roof requirement and its high high cost, they have to cut back dramatically on their development plan. And Co Op at 1st isn't some kind of dirty industry putting out huge amounts of pollution; but they are getting hurt by external requirements.

[5:57 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Agree Elizabeth, it does seem that this public draft engagement strategy is already happening. I'm wondering what is different.

[5:58 PM] Sandoval, Kathy

Are the email blast the only item that hasn't happen?

Elizabeth:

- I don't know where we are on soft launch, and I don't know timing
- None of us on the steering committee thought you should take down the link to the plan
- When is the timeline to finish the second draft?
- And what is the timing for the public outreach after that?
- We paused, none of us wanted to derail the process, and now I don't know timing and how these different streams are feeding into each other

[6:00 PM] Herrera, Valerie M. - CPD CE0429 City Planner Senior

Email blasts and newsletters which is where we gain the most engagement. The postcards are a small help unfortunately and don't bring the best engagement. Sandoval, Kathy

Courtland:

- Some of this is hard to answer, because this group needs to make a lot of progress on these issues we identified today and figuring out exactly how the draft should change.
- The more complicated we make it, the harder it is going to be to come out at the end of this process with a draft everyone is happy with
- The plan right now is to take 2 months to have these meetings, and we are going to be working to make the edits we are discussing . . . we are falling behind in our ability to facilitate these meetings and update the draft.
- In theory, if we are successful, we will have a few months to update the draft, then we will get it back to the steering committee to review the work of this group, and at that point we will put out the second draft and do the full media push

[6:03 PM] Elizabeth Walsh

Thanks - I have a hard stop - this is helpful - gotta go

[6:00 PM] Heideman, Katie - CC YA2245 City Council Aide

I have to get to another community meeting. Val/Courtland, please let me know if there are follow ups you need from our office!

[6:00 PM] Herrera, Valerie M. - CPD CE0429 City Planner Senior

With the newsletters and email blasts - we can then schedule times to meet with community groups in the neighborhoods. All of this is highly affected currently.

Val:

The only thing we did not get to that was on our agenda was the powerful conversations guide, lets make sure she has time to do so next time. Feel free to email us additional thoughts as they come.

Courtland:

- In terms of next steps,
- We will regroup staff as a team to figure out a schedule for how we march through these topics systematically in a way that makes the work manageable for staff
- The we also have summer vacation schedules kicking in, there may be times when we need to skip a meeting one week

Kathy:

Lets include the document that Elizabeth sent over in the next meeting