<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA</th>
<th>Discussion / Summary</th>
<th>Decisions and Action Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HANDBOUTS</td>
<td>• Agenda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• June 2022 minutes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Second Chance Center Re-Entry Programming with Denver Sheriff Department Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pretrial and Fast Track Program Impact Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Crisis Intervention Response Unit (CIRU) Program Impact Presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTENDANCE – ZOOM MEETING</td>
<td>Taj Ashaheed (virtual), Amy Beery (virtual), Candi CdeBaca (virtual), Elias Diggins (virtual), Paul Kashmann (virtual), DeAnna Maes (virtual), Greg Mauro (virtual), Beth McCann (virtual), Jonathan McMillan (virtual), Alice Norman (virtual), Liz Ojeda (virtual), Deborah Ortega (virtual), Carol Peeples (virtual), Laura Rovner (virtual), Orlando Salazar (virtual), Armando Saldate (virtual), Deme Trujillo (virtual), Lynn Unger (virtual), Laura Wachter (virtual), Glenn West (virtual)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guests: Ilyas El-Amin (virtual), Patricia Taylor (virtual), Anthony Pfaff (virtual), LeAnn Fickes (virtual), Ann Cecchine-Williams (virtual), Arrah Gallagher (virtual), Chris Richardson (virtual), Daniel Van Schooten (virtual), Ilyas El-Amin (virtual), Jeff Holliday (virtual), Kara Napolitano (virtual), Kelley Terrell (virtual), Lizzie Friend (virtual), Lucas Sweeney (virtual), Melanie Pitkin (virtual), Scott Snow (virtual), Stacie Colling (virtual), Zach McDade (virtual), Anthony (last name?) (virtual)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff: Candy Romero (virtual), Charlie Garcia (virtual), Cindy Laub (virtual)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINUTES</td>
<td>Candy Romero asked for approval of the May 2022 minutes. Deborah Ortega moved to approve. Paul Kashmann seconded the motion. Carol Peeples gave verbal approval. Minutes approved.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION/ UPDATES

Welcome Commissioners and guests

Disabled chat for the meeting

Dani Peters taking minutes

Second Chance Center Re-Entry Programming with Denver Sheriff Department Presentation - Melanie Pitkin, Director of Programs, DSD and Ilyas El-Amin, Director of Second Chance Center

- Program outcome data questions
• Questions around data
  o 17 includes all funding sources
• Need better understanding of the numbers
  o 195 people in the Jail based component referred to case management, 37 enrolled in jail in 2020
  o 11 refused referral
    ▪ Of 195 that participated in 2021—the difference is what was enrolled in 2020
    ▪ 900k—hired 3 people and employment navigator
    ▪ Referrals coming from numerous sources
• In 2021 jail, 195 participants
  o Everyone referred participated
• 24 participated
• $900k figure
  o Would have liked this to facilitate gathering data from the system
  o Can't evaluate if these individuals were referred to Second Chance Center (SCC)
  o Monthly release meetings are helpful—looking to further collaborate
  o SCC will meet with these individuals to put a face with a name—warm handoff connections to SCC to help close the gap for 195 people to receive supportive services
  o Of these individuals, X # have been released on parole—would it make sense for SCC to be a condition of parole or probation—could be worth exploring—Melanie will help provide these numbers at a later date
  o 24 #'s: is when a referral link came online, prior to this—data wasn't available
    ▪ Know we connected with initial 24 transitions
    ▪ 15% enrolled with SCC
    ▪ Appx 10 people were referred to community services in the previous year.
    ▪ Majority of time is probation/community corrections and not participants in programs that SCC doesn't offer to folks in custody
    ▪ Studies have shown that the likelihood of success is limited when someone is mandated to participate in social programs that exist, without having own motivation
• SCC is an outstanding operation—go see the work that is being done, not just across the street, but in Aurora as well
  o Doing good work for people in custody
• Data person hired since then, for all reentry organizations that receive money, that need to be accountable for the money, that we are given to provide reentry services
  o How many vouchers, how much, how many connections to employment, etc. should have this data—concerns around lack of accountability of money going out for reentry services.

Pretrial and Fast Track Program Impact Presentation - Greg Mauro, Director, Division of Community Corrections, Department of Safety

• Do we have data on how many treatment plans are actually in Denver?
  o Some organizations provide services, but if we have someone who needs more intense care that isn't provided in the jail system—do we actually have places where people can be referred as they exit the jail system?
    ▪ Don't have an answer, other than to say we don't have enough
    ▪ State has a database where treatment providers can access to show how many beds are available - fairly new
      • Can the community have access to this database?
      • Tremendous resource where we can access beds where available
• Conversation with state, Ft. Logan, and Ridgeview and focus on state trying to open up Ridgeview and have dollars put into different pots with hope to have people open up beds at Ft. Logan
  o Critical need for unhoused neighbors
    ▪ Pathway to beds is limited
• Are there provisions to try and find new providers or properties?
  o Shame we can't tap into the staffing before DU and Civic took control of these properties
    ▪ Not pretrial services related, but work that has dated back 3 years to develop an alternative model
      • By August 1, 2022, want to start providing counts. Model involves community CM and city staff—blended approach has created culture that is infectious and exciting. Want this to build better outcomes via the Empowerment program.
      • Have attempted through multiple RFPs to ID service providers to decrease the void from CoreCivic
- Can’t find providers solely for themselves
- City is subsidizing part of these costs
  - Can't just replace GeoGroup and Core Civic--want to partner with city on blended operation
  - Lost total number of beds (around 350)

---

**Fast Track Drug Cases**

**Lead Agency: Dept. of Safety; Community Corrections**

---

**Program Info**

- PTS Intake: Interviews defendants arrested on drug charges, Drug RANT score identifies the H/N for placement in the HEM program
- HEM Court is provided info and completion dismissals depended on RANT:
  - LI/HN: Completion of an intake community provider
  - LO/HN: Completion of 1 goal w/HEM PC, case plan and intake
  - HR/HN: Completion of 3 goals w/HEM PC, SOA-R intake, case plan, to intake completion
  - HR/HN: Completion of 6 goals with HEM PO: SOA-R intake, case plan, Steps groups (meeting at outside agencies to meet needs)

**2021 Participation**

2021 RANT (Drug) scores N=788:
- High/High: 455 (58%)
- High/Low: 267 (34%)
- Low/High: 19 (2%)
- Low/Low: 47 (6%)

462 cases supervised by HEM
- 271 (73%) compliant (closed) cases
- 60 (23%) terminated non-compliant
- 20 participants connected to MAT
  - 73% Male, 27% Female
  - 18% Black, 27% Hispanic, 53% White
  - 33% Unhoused; 67% Housed
  - 35% Meth; 20% Heroin/Opiates; 14% Cocaine; 5% Alcohol; 5% THC; 1% Fentanyl (Fentanyl start started in Dec 21)

**Analysis**

Recidivism as Defined by New Charge: Filed In Colorado
- 78% of HEM clients did not re-off from 1-1-21 to 2-8-22
- 21% of HEM clients re-offended fr 1-1-21 to 2-8-22 (50% of new char were drug offenses)
- Prevented 71% of cases from going to trial division

---

- Feedback from community is excitement and participatory
- Slide 9 (above): how many people were eligible for HEM (Helping, Engaging, motivating) that did not participate?
  - 1,146 cases that had DM1 (Drug Misdemeanor 1) charge attached--doesn’t mean automatically eligible for HEM
  - Of these, 848 were eligible for HEM
  - 747 offered HEM
- 15% declined to come into HEM
- 6% not eligible (determined by DA, only 19 cases)
- 10% cases dismissed (31)
- At end of 2021, of 298 cases not in HEM, 54% were FTA's which means that in 2022 it was a carry over. 1 or 2 FTAs to come into HEM program
  - Warrant in custody
  - If people do appear at 4F, then they appear at HEM at 2100
- What is the theory of change for HEM program?
  - Have mandated in the past and tried to force them in, was not successful
  - Wanted to create something that met where they are
  - Recidivism is not main goal, but more about developing relationship or rapport

Crisis Intervention Response Unit (CIRU) Program Impact Presentation - Scott Snow, Director, Crisis Services Bureaus, Denver Police Department and Chris Richardson, MCHD

- Is there an interaction with corresponder and STAR?
  - Continuity of sending right response to the needs of people
  - Want to keep a clinical lens to ensure someone has appropriate services
  - Want to make sure there is safety in the community and also is clinically appropriate with a trauma informed approach
  - Through both programs, want to make this the best experience for someone needing help
  - 911 is the gatekeeper and excellent at what they do to make sure the appropriate response goes out
  - We're an AND, and an OR city to have and promote both programs
• Slide 7: large number of people of non-psychotic mental disorder unspecified
  o Enatchment area for any individual that has a mental health diagnoses
  o Don't want to meet someone for brief crisis and then have a diagnosis due to alleviation of stigma. Those with diagnoses usually self-identify and/or past clients
    o Are other 51% unknown? Yes, potentially undiagnosed.
• Slides 10 & 11:
  o Every day we learn of a new community provider and want to connect with our referral partners (ie: job opportunities)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referrals made to other providers:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Health Medical Center</td>
<td>777 Banno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA Hospital ! 1055 Clermont ! Denver CO</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllHealth Network ! 19751 Main Street</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Health Behavioral Services ! 777</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Hospital Colorado ! 13123 Eas</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Center for Mental Health ! 948</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Medical Center ! 4567 East 9th Aven</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian St Lukes Medical Center ! 1</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter's Adventist Hospital ! 2525 South</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurora Mental Health ! 11059 Bethany Dr</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish Medical Center ! 501 East Hamp di</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stout Street Clinic ! 2100 Broadway ! De</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Joseph Hospital ! 1375 E 19th Ave</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain Human Services ! 9900 Eas</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser Foundation Hospital ! 11000 E 45t</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Reach Center ! 8931 Huron ! Th</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Department of Human Services ! 12</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Colorado Hospital ! 12605</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univ ! PO Box 173364 Campus Box 118 ! De</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennyson Center ! 2950 Tennyson Street !</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Medical Family Medicine ! 4545 East</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Center of Aurora North Campus ! 1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Housing Authority ! 777 Grant St</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Health Westside Clinic ! 1100 Fed</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial Peaks Hospital ! 2255 South 8</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aurora Medical Center HealthONE ! 1501 S</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anschutz Medical Campus ! 13123 East 16t</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Questions for follow up:
  o Is there an overlap or intersect of the 3 programs mentioned today to impact individual service delivery?
  o Are we able to do M1 Holds (mental health holds) throughout these corresponder programs?
  o Are we putting info in HMIS system when an individual is unhoused?
  o Are we paying different providers to treat the same services for the same person?
  o How many CMs does an individual have?
  o Do we have touchpoints with these organizations so that we're maxing out efficiencies and genuine benefit to the individuals–how do we move the needle and show the results?
- Chris Richardson to send to Candy Romero answers to the above questions and will sent to the group

**Announcements**

- CPCC will transfer from DDPHE to DOS on 8/1/22
- Deme leaving CPCC the first week in September to become a Judge in the Domestic Location
  - Successor to be appointed at a later date. Interviews are underway.
- Today is 10th anniversary of Denver Theatre Shooting—hug loved ones and spend some time with family and those you love. Memorial at the Theatre.

**Public Comment - none**

**Closing Remarks – none**


| **NEXT MEETING** | August 17, 2022, 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m., via Zoom |