Bidders are hereby instructed that the drawings, specifications, and other contract documents are modified, corrected, supplemented and/or superseded for the above-mentioned project as hereinafter described in the following attachments:

EXTENSION OF QUESTION DEADLINE AND POSTPONEMENT OF BID OPENING:

Notice is hereby given that the deadline to submit questions for Contract No. 202473972/202473973 – 52nd & Emerson Water Quality Facility Phase 2 - Schedule A & B, will be extended until July 26, 2024, to be submitted no later than 2:00 p.m. local time and the bid opening is postponed as well. Bids will be received and accepted via the online electronic bid service, www.QuestCDN.com, no later than:

2:00 p.m., local time
August 8, 2024

Published in the Daily Journal on July 19, 22, 23, 2024.

REVISIONS TO THE BID DOCUMENT PACKAGE

- The Bid Document Package has been revised for IB-26 MINORITY AND WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (MWBE) REQUIREMENTS starting on page BDP-12. The BDP in QuestCDN has been updated to reflect this change. The revisions to this verbiage are included in the Addendum 2 Additional Documents Zip Folder that is sent to plan holders from QuestCDN via file share email from proofpoint. The pdf is named Revisions to IB-26,

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS

- Addendum 1 Additional Documents Zip Folder is sent to plan holders from QuestCDN via file share email from proofpoint:
  - Arts_And_Venues_Project_PDFs
  - HP1B_CONSTRUCTION_PLANS
  - HP2_Main_Clear_and_Grub.dwg
  - HP-ADD2_Dig
  - IRR-100-Phase 2-rev6-IR102
  - IRR-100-Phase 2-rev6-IR-118-DETAILS 4
  - Irrigation As-Builts_5-15-2024_DRAFT
PHASE 2_BASES
Pipe Penetration Detail
Revisions to IB-26
Slag_Area

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

Q1. Sheet LM-101 (64 of 233) Schedule Symbol 2-12 Post and Dowell Fence – Single Rail is indicated but not is shown on the drawing. Please verify the location of Symbol 2-12. (Per the note sheet LM200 is not included in the plan set)
A1. There is no post and dowel fencing on this sheet. The LM200 note is referring to LM-201 through LM-214 materials enlargement plans.

Q2. Sheet LM-101 (64 of 233) has a call out for DOTI Curb Ramp at the southwest corner. Please verify this was poured as part of Phase 1 and not applicable to Phase 2.
A2. The south-facing ramp was installed in Phase 1B, but the west-facing ramp was not.

Q3. Sheet LM-102 (65 of 233) Schedule Symbol 2-34 Vinyl Coated Chain Link Fence in the reference notes but is not shown on the drawing. Please verify the location of 2-34. (Per the note sheet LM200 is not included in the plan set)
A3. It is located in the middle-left portion of the sheet, southeast of the parking lot. The LM200 note is referring to LM-201 through LM-214 materials enlargement plans.

Q4. Per Sheet LM-106 (69 of 233) there are 2 areas of the 2-34 Vinyl Chain Link Fence adjacent to the Maintenance slab that surround the service cabinets. They are indicating double swing gates. Please advise if a double gate is required at these areas or if a single gate is to be assumed.
A4. Double swing gates are preferred.

Q5. Please verify if Site Furnishings in the crusher fines areas are to be surface mounted on a pad or in-ground mount. If surface mounted, please provide information and quantities for concrete pads.
A5. Benches shown in crusher fines should be mounted on exposed 30.5-inch x 78-inch x 6-inch deep concrete pads. Carousel tables in crusher fines areas (at pump track only) should be mounted to a 42" diameter, 6" deep concrete pad on center with the table top. Each bike rack in a crusher fines area (at pump track only) should be mounted to a 12-inch wide x 24-inch long x 12-inch deep concrete pad. Each concrete pad should be level to adjacent crusher. Bench swing shade structure should be installed per detail. Include the concrete pad in the cost of each unit (EA).

Q6. Per Sheets LM – 204, 206, 207, there is a call out for Gravel Sump at Lawn (9-05). The drawings only show the notation and do not have a definitive start and stop boundaries. Please clarify the extent of gravel to be installed.
A6. Extents are shown on LG-103 and labeled as "Gravel sump trench."

Q7. Per Sheet LS – 503 Detail 7 shows a “Stage Wall”. Per Sheet LM – 204 the enlarged area where the Stage is located does not call out the wall. Please clarify the extent of where the “Stage Wall” is to be located.
A7. This detail occurs around the parallelogram shaped stage in the amphitheater to the east of the pavilion plaza. Key note has been added.

Q8. Per Sheet LM – 208A there is Schedule Symbol 2-12 Post and Dowel Fence shown in a concrete band at the south. Please provide a detail of the desired fence installation requirement for this condition.
A8. This is shown in 2/LS-545 (Sheet 147 of 233)
Q9. Per the DCCP document that was released with the Pre-Bid documents it says there is work requirements over any project over $10 Million. Per the 202473972 BDP Pg 22 states that “DCCP has determine that an exclusion applies and therefore full workforce requirements will not apply to this contract.” Please clarify Denver’s Workforce intent for this project.

A9. Due to the project being primarily a horizontal project where there is limited possibility for apprenticeable trades, DCCP determined that this project would have limited workforce requirements (instead of the full workforce requirements). The selected contractor will be required to submit a Limited Workforce Plan that outlines its approach to the meeting limited workforce requirements.

The Limited Workforce Plan will:

1. Identify a designated Workforce Coordinator who will serve as point of contact for workforce activities.
2. Describe contractor’s outreach and engagement strategy, including:
   a. Contractor’s job recruitment approach: Posting of new positions via Connecting Colorado at www.connectingcolorado.com or additional platforms unique to employment within the organization.
   b. Contractor’s commitment to coordinate with DCCP and the city’s designated workforce convener(s) to help increase outreach to Target Populations and Target Neighborhoods.
3. Contractor’s commitment to submit quarterly reports to DCCP that detail activities and progress toward workforce goal achievement.

Q10. Per the electrical plans, there are black dotted lines that run to existing light poles and pull boxes. Please clarify if these are to be additional conduits or existing conduits that are to be used for new circuits.

A10. The existing conduit is shown in a lighter lineweight and connects existing features, which are called out with a notation of "(E)"

Q11. Per the Vegetation Removal drawings, Demolition drawings and the Grading drawings there is not a defined clear and grub area. Please clarify areas to be cleared and grubbed.

A11. "HP2_Main_Clear_and_Grub.dwg" is provided for your reference for the majority of area needing clearing and grubbing. There will be additional areas in the open space east of the main playground area (between the clarifier seat walls) and adjacent to the trails. This is not the definitive limit and will require modifications in the field. Additionally, recently some lead slag was found near the east-west trail west of the pond outlet structure. The top 12 inches in this area will need to be excavated and replaced with clean fill/topsoil. Refer to “Slag_Area.PNG” for the approximate limits and include this in the clearing and grubbing bid item.

Q12. Will the contractor be required to include vibration monitoring for the entire duration of the project or is there a maximum number of days the contractor should include for bidding purposes?

A12. The measurement and payment states "The lump sum price for Vibration Assessment shall include all of the Contractor’s costs of whatsoever nature. The price bid shall include: all labor, equipment, materials, subcontractors, and transportation to and from project site required to complete vibration monitoring and assessment during the entire project duration regardless of extensions..." The Contractor may coordinate, with DOTI PM, the removal of the monitoring when heavy equipment is removed from the project.
Q13. Which existing structures/walk paths in the North Side Park area should be included with vibration monitoring for this project? Are there certain existing areas or structures the owner would like to see included?

A13. The vibration monitoring is for the adjacent properties and structures. Usually, the equipment is placed at the perimeter of the project site to show vibration levels aren't exceeded. Refer to A52 in this addendum.

Q14. Is vibration monitoring required at the concrete structures during demo around the slab per Sheet LD-1203?

A14. The measurement and payment states "The lump sum price for Vibration Assessment shall include all of the Contractor’s costs of whatsoever nature. The price bid shall include: all labor, equipment, materials, subcontractors, and transportation to and from project site required to complete vibration monitoring and assessment during the entire project duration regardless of extensions..." Vibration monitoring is required for heavy construction, including demolition. Refer to A52 in this addendum as well.

Q15. Per Sheet LS-544 Detail 2, it shows a Culvert with suspended seat but, there does not seem to be a call out in the Material Layout drawings. Could you please clarify the location of this item on the project site?

A15. These are located in the water Quality Room on Sheet LM-202. They are incorrectly labeled as 5-10 "Picnic table." Keynote should read 5-57 and refer to the "Culvert With Suspended Bench Seat" located at 2/LS-544.

Q16. Sheet LG-204 indicates an underdrainage system with area drains at the plaza area. Our takeoff quantity for 8” PVC (119 LF) does not match the provided bid form quantity for bid item 34-7.1a (35 LF). Please advise. Please provide a detail/spec for the area drains shown in the concrete paving.

A16. Bid Item 34-7.1a is the sanitary sewer extension to the restroom. It also includes cost of 4” PVC, cleanouts, and connection to building, as well as SUDP inspection. The underdrain at the pavilion is to be included in the cost of the concrete paving for the pavilion.

Q17. Sheets LG-106 and LG-204 callout underdrain at crusher fines areas. There is not a bid form item for underdrain at crusher fines or a detail.

a. Please advise if there needs to be a bid item added to the bid form or if this underdrain is to be incidental to a specific bid item.

b. Please provide a detail and rim elevations

A17. a. This underdrain is to be included in the cost of the pump track (32-33.00.06a - Bicycle Pump Track Site Prep and Coordination) and crusher fines (5-4 - Crusher Fines Paving).

b. The underdrain will stub up into the flat pad. The rims and lids will be set by the Pump Track Design Build (S13.08.00AA Bid Item).

Q18. The provided CAD file does not contain the existing contour lines to verify earthwork quantities. Can the CAD file containing the existing or as-built contour lines please be provided to ensure accurate earthwork calculations can be formulated?

A18. The appended "PHASE 2_BASES.zip" contains grading files from the erosion control plans, which should be sufficient.

Q19. Per the provided bid form item 3.2a’s description “Hauling of contaminated material to DADS”, is the contractor to assume this material is contaminated? Per the soils report and Addendum 1, A8 it is stated hazardous material removal is not expected. Please advise.
A19. The soil and debris is considered contaminated due to the presence of lead slag on-site and potential for asbestos. Lead slag does not require special handling due to the Bevill Exemption and can be disposed of at DADS. RACS, if encountered, is to be handled as noted in the MMP and will be paid under item 3-2a. Contractors should include costs for RACS handling in this bid item. Hazardous Material is a higher-level risk, handling, and disposal; refer to Section 4.4.2 of the MMP for Hazardous Waste Disposal, however, hazardous waste is not anticipated to be encountered and would be performed under this contract under a change order.

Q20. Per the provided bid form item 3-2a indicates 747 CY of unclassified excavation. Is the contractor to assume this quantity is all to be exported?

A20. 747 CY is the amount net cut based on the comparison between the project survey and finished grade. Debris, over-excavation and subgrade excavation, and clearing and grubbing disposal is not included in this Bid Item and is to be included in the cost of the associated demolition, paving, and the clearing and grubbing bid items.

Q21. Per the provided bid form item 3-2a indicates 747 CY of unclassified excavation. Is the contractor to assume this quantity includes excavation and subgrade spoils?

a. If not, is the contractor to assume export of all excavation and subgrade spoils is incidental to correlating bid items?

A21. It is incidental to the correlating bid items.

Q22. Per the provided bid form, can the conversion factor for area to Ton please be provided for items: 5-2A “Subgrade Material”, 5-4 “Crusher Fines”, 5-4 “Crusher Fines top Dress”, and 5-8 “crushed gravel base course”?

A22. Use industry standard densities and the depths shown on the plans for the conversion. The bid item will be paid by the TON of associated material.

Q23. Bid Item number 5-2A “Subgrade Material (select backfill): Import and Rough/Fine Grading” indicates 3,406 Tons. Per the Geotech report, on-site soil is suitable for re-use. Please advise what the contractor is to assume for subgrade material import.

A23. On-site soils may be used to fill if it meets the Soil Reuse Guidelines from the project specifications, but there isn't enough cut to accommodate the fill. The remainder is the imported fill, which should generally meet the guidelines of Section 5.0.3.3 of the WCPM Standard Construction Specifications.

Q24. Ref. bid Item number 5-2A “Subgrade Material (select backfill): Import and Rough/Fine Grading”, typically grading is measured by area not tonnage. Please advise how measurement and payment will be performed for this bid item.

A24. The TONs of import will be measured by the haul trucks. Payment will be in tons and material volume, or area, which will be converted to tonnage using material density or haul truck size.

Q25. Ref. Addendum A29. Can a quantity please be provided for the repair and replace portion of this bid item?

A25. There are 6,820 LF of existing TPZs. A bid item is added with this Addendum #2 for removing the repair/replace statement from the proposed TPZ bid item to provide 1,400 LF for repair/replace of the existing TPZs, which includes removing 6,820 LF at Substantial Completion. The cost to remove or replace TPZ fencing that has been removed or damaged by the Contractor or their subcontractors shall be borne at the contractors expense.
Q26. Per the Log Schedule there are 21 Type D Logs. Per the Material Layout drawings Type D Logs are the Log Benches. Per the Bid Form there are only 8 Log Benches. Please Verify quantity in the Bid Form.

A26. Log schedule is incorrect. In the plans, there are 13 Type A, 12 Type B, 3 Type C, and 13 Type D logs.

Q27. Per Sheet IR-102 there is no routing for irrigation to the Playground Planters. Please clarify routes.

A27. Revised sheet "IRR-100-Phase 2-rev6-IR102.PDF" is issued with this Addendum #2 for clarification. The timing and depth of these lines will need to be coordinated with the foundation and underdrains in this area. The pipe penetration detail is included for sleeving through the foundations.

Q28. Per Sheet LS-542 Detail 1 calls out 2”x2” frame. Is the wall thickness to be 3/16”. Please verify.

A28. 1/4" thickness. A revised detail is included in the appended “HP-ADD2_DIG.PDF”

Q29. Per Sheet LS-542 Detail 1 it calls for the 2”x2” frame to be galvanized, welding the Perforated Panels to a Galvanized frame will not be constructable. Please advise if an alternate material is acceptable or if a different connection detail is available.

A29. HSS steel instead of galvanized steel, welded, is acceptable. A revised detail is included in the appended “HP-ADD2_DIG.PDF”

Q30. Per LS-542 Detail 1 it calls for “Laser Cut Butterflies”, what is the desired thickness of the Butterflies and can you provide more detail of the mounting options.

A30. 1/4-inch thick, tack welded from back side of screen panel.

Q31. Per Sheet LS-542 Detail 1 it calls for 11 gauge with ½” holes, is there a Specified part number for this item?

A31. For purposes of bidding, McNichols numbers 1638129941 and 1634019941 are acceptable. Other acceptable sources are www.directmetals.com and www.metalsdepot.com

Q32. Per Sheet LS-542 the call out for the “Bird Blind” is perforated metal sheets that the decorative design gets cut into. Fabricating this will create many dangerous sharp edges that will not be able to be smoothed. Please advise if solid panels will be an acceptable alternate.

A32. The bird blind must be open to allow for visibility to see birds. Through the submittal process, the landscape architect can work with the fabricator to round acute angles where necessary. For bidding purposes, assume all cut edges to be "eased" and smooth to prevent snagging of clothes or skin. It may be beneficial to use the preferred manufacturer for the custom perforated shade panels, Parasoleil, or the contractor's preferred alternate manufacturer for the shade panels, to perform this work.

Q33. Per Sheet LS-546 calls out a “Standard Connection” for aluminum panels to steel framing, could you provide a detail for the connection points?

A33. Custom perforated panel design and engineering, including fasteners, shall be delegated design on the manufacturer. Parasoleil is the preferred manufacturer, though alternative manufacturers may be used. Mechanical fasteners are preferred.

Q34. Could you provide Specifications for the Perforated Panel at the Shade Shelters?

A34. Custom perforated panel design and engineering, including fasteners, shall be delegated design on the manufacturer. Parasoleil is the preferred manufacturer, though alternative manufacturers may be used. See Section 32 05 43 for wind and snow load requirements, as well
as requirements for aluminum. Detail 3/LS-543 depicts a typical custom panel. This would need to be modified for the playground shade structures on LS-546.

Q35. Per sheet L-004, Boulder Schedule Phase 2, Note 1 indicates boulders to be flat bottom and top, snap cut. Please advise if the intent is for the boulders to be uniformly cut to dimensions or if they are to be more natural?
   a. 12” is maximum width for a snap cut. Please advise if natural cleft is acceptable
   b. The nature of quarried stone typically yields a flat top or bottom. Will quarried stone be acceptable or is the contractor to assume the tops and bottoms will need to be saw cut?
A35. Snap and/or saw cut sides will be needed for the boulder wall shown on LS-505 all other sandstone may be quarried with their natural flat tops and cleft faces.

Q36. Per addendum 1, boulders are to be sourced from within 50 miles from the site. Please define if this requirement means the boulders must be quarried within 50 miles.
   a. Does the designer have a preference of what quarry they would like the boulders to be procured from that meets the sourcing requirement?
A36. Phase 1B of the project used Lyons Sandstone. This would be the preferred provider, however, if matching red sandstone can be procured from an alternative quarry within 50 miles from the project site, that may be acceptable.

Q37. Detail 3/LS-505 note 3. Calls for a mock-up of the boulders. Can the contractor assume a field install mock-up will be acceptable?
A37. Field install mock-up is acceptable. Landscape Architect should be present for boulder placement.

Q38. At the playground Sheet LM-208B, the surfacing under the Monstrum Playground features will be a combination of PIP rubber surfacing and EWF. The Structural sheet ST-101 indicates a foundation slab to be poured under the Monstrum Playground items for mounting purposes.
   a. Details on sheet LS-502 do not provide a condition for concrete under engineered wood fiber. Can a detail please be provided?
   b. Details on sheet LS-502 do not provide the condition of the concrete slab under the PIP rubber surfacing. Can a detail please be provided?
A38. Details are provided in the appended “HP-ADD2_DIG.PDF”

Q39. Per the pre-bid meeting notes document, there is a note to include costs for the art project coordination in Mobilization. Can a magnitude of the art projects please be provided to ensure accurate pricing can be determined?
   a. Will the contractor be responsible for anything other than coordination? Ie. footings, foundations, construction related activities.
A39. The three artists' plans are appended as "Arts_And_Venues_Project_PDFs.zip". The contractor is expected to coordinate the timing and access for each artist's construction, but the foundations and associated surface treatments are assumed to be part of the artist's contract. Arts and Venues has asked if the Heron Pond Phase 2 Contractor could construct the foundations to reduce coordination efforts and providing access to multiple subcontractors. This may be negotiated after NTP and added on as a change order.

Q40. Can DOTI/DIG Studios please provide the contractors their contact (phone and email) for Monstrum? We have reached out to them multiple occasions via email and phone and they have not been responsive.
A40. We have been working with Tomas Knudsen. Email: tk@monstrum.dk; phone: +45 332 1077. They are European and take long holidays in the summer, so their response time may be slow right now.

Q41. The project name is referenced 52nd & Emerson Water Quality Facility Phase 2 - Sch A, B. However the package (BDP footer on all pages) and bid bonds reference "Heron Pond Carpio - Sanguinette" as the project name. Please confirm which project name is correct and provide updated forms accordingly.

A41. The official project name is "52nd & Emerson Water Quality Facility Phase 2 - Sch A, B". The shorter abbreviated name “Heron Pond Carpio – Sanguinette Phase 2 A & B” for the footer is commonly used in this form, so the BDP will not be changed.

Q42. LM101 and others mentions to reference LM200 Sheets for material callouts, however LM200 is missing from the drawing set, can you please confirm if this sheet is applicable and if so, provide it?

A42. This reference is for the series of Materials Enlargement Plan sheets 083 through 097 (LM-201 to LM-214). There is no LM200 sheet.

Q43. Can DOTI please provide the Phase 1B as-builts? Specifically, the Grading, Utilities, and Irrigation.

A43. The Phase 1B construction plans are appended as "HP1B_CONSTRUCTION_PLANS.pdf" The final Phase 1B Irrigation As-builts are still pending approval. The appended folder “Irrigation As-Builts_5-15-2024_DRAFT” is a draft and not final.

Q44. Can you please confirm a specific detail for the VSPZ? Like the details shown on EC-119 & EC120.

A44. See revised specification sections 01 56 39 and 01 50 00, which were provided with Addendum #1.

Q45. Please confirm quantity of public restrooms required. LM204 shows a quantity of 2, and other drawings only show 1.

A45. There is only 1 public restroom building. A revised detail of this sheet has been provided in the appended “HP-ADD2_DIG.PDF”

Q46. LM-204 If imported fill is needed, will DOTI provide a borrow source? If so, where is it located?

A46. It is up to the contractor to find and procure import material per CCD soil import guidance.

Q47. LM-204 Can Limits of Work for Alts shown on LA100-102 be provided?

A47. The plans are to scale in PDF format. CAD can be provided to the selected Contractor for locating in the field.

Q48. LM-204 Does this project have any E-Verify requirements? If so, can the information be provided?

A48. Prevailing Wage will not have any E-Verify requirements, and survey/inspection work is not reported for Prevailing Wage.

Q49. 01-52.03 Is the contractor responsible for internet service for the DOTI field Offices? If so, is wireless internet acceptable or will a hardline be required?

A49. Refer to Wastewater spec.01-5213 Temporary office facilities states “…access to high speed internet (minimum 20 Mbps)”

Q50. Addendum 1 Sites Documents. Can a call or a meeting be provided to bidders explaining this program and the requirements for this project?

A50. The forms and specifications provide the information needed for the SITES tasks. A preconstruction meeting with the contractor will be provided by Denver just before
construction begins to reaffirm roles and responsibilities. During construction, the efforts are primarily administrative to track materials. In Phase 1B, the contractor noted that the bulk of the effort stemmed from getting this information from their subcontractors, so informing your subcontractors of this, in advance, should mitigate these efforts.

Q51. Spec 01 35 63 (Sites). In this word document certain items are highlighted yellow, can you please explain the significance of these highlighted items?
A51. These items warrant special attention, but all information applies.

Q52. Wastewater Spec 02-2213. How many vibration Monitor locations are being required for the project, and where are they to be located?
A52. Wastewater spec 02-2213 Part 3 section D states “The location and number of vibration monitoring instruments will be as directed by the vibration monitoring consultant based on the result of baseline monitoring, their interpretation of these results, and their experience based on the type of activity and proximity to nearby facilities.”

Q53. Wastewater Specs vs Project Tech Specs. It looks like there was two sets of Specs included with the RFP; Technical Specifications prepared by Dig Studio/ Wilson & Co. (April 2024) and then Denver Wastewater Project Standard Construction Specs (dated March 15, 2016). These specs do not align with each other, example, Section 01-52.00 in wastewater is for Temp Facilities, but in the Tech Specs section 01.50.00 is for temp facilities and they do not align on requirements. Can DOTI please give an order of precedence or clarify which specs are to be followed?
A53. Refer to General Contract Conditions section 401.3 for how to resolve inconsistencies among contract documents.

Q54. Spec 01 35 63 (Sites). Can you please provide an index of applicable spec sections and documents along with the precedence of the documents? There are multiple spec sections and it’s unclear what spec over rules another.
A54. Refer to General Contract Conditions section 401.3 for how to resolve inconsistencies among contract documents.

Q55. Will there be another opportunity to submit RFI's before the bid date?
A55. There will not be an additional Q&A. If there is an issue needs to be resolved before the bid, please email Micaela Martinez, and the Design Team will do their best to answer ASAP, but the bid date will remain July 16th unless otherwise stated in Denver's response.

Q56. Det 3 on LS-544, LM-204, LM-208. On the Guard rail detail, it shows 3"x3" mesh panel and also lists perforated panel. What material would you like used/priced for the guardrail?
A56. 3x3x1/4" welded wire mesh, painted.

Q57. LA-101 Det 3 & 4 “Nest Arches” Add ALT 5, it calls out ¼” Stainless steel cable with “Muzata Invisible Cable Railing Tensioners.” The biggest Muzata tensioners that can be sourced are 3/16”, is this size acceptable ILO 1/4” shown?
A57. For durability purposes, the design team's preference is to have 1/4-inch minimum diameter cable, alternative manufacturers are acceptable.

Q58. Are there any modifications to the bid items?
A58. 1 - As noted in Question 29, Q01-56.39.01 the length of TPZ fencing to be installed is revised to 7,300 LF, and the "maintain and Repair/Replace existing TPZ fencing left on-site from Phase 1B construction" requirement is removed. Pricing shall include the cost to remove the 7,300 LF of fencing at Substantial Completion.
2 - An additional Q01-56.39.01 bid item is added for the "EXISTING TREE RETENTION AND PROTECTION: Maintain and Repair/Replace existing TPZ fencing left on-site from Phase 1B construction". The bid quantity is 1,400 LF, which includes the removal of the entire 6,821 LF of existing TPZ fencing at Substantial Completion. Repairing or replacing of TPZ fencing damaged or removed by the Contractor during construction shall be borne at the Contractor's expense.

This ADDENDUM shall be attached to, become a part of, and be returned with the Bid Proposal.

James G. Potter, City Engineer

7/18/2024

Date